


Not on the Table: Nine in Ten Canadians Refuse to Trade Away Food Sovereignty.



The research gauged the opinions among Canadians on trade negotiations between Canada and the United States.

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1099 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 31 and April 4, 2026, as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals were randomly called using random digit dialing with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1099 Canadians is ± 3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.


[The statistical tabulations including the unweighted and weighted number of interviews can be accessed here.](#)

The research was commissioned by the Dairy Farmers of Canada and was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Canadians remain supportive of the general objectives of the supply management system, with just over three in four either supporting (47%) or somewhat supporting (30%) them. Outright support for the general objectives of the system reached a new numerical tracking high since the 2018 benchmark. Women (83%) are more likely to report supporting or somewhat supporting the general objectives of the supply management system than men (69%).

Support for the general objectives of the supply management system – Tracking



Q – As you may know, supply management is a system by which Canada’s dairy, poultry, and chicken farmers work to ensure a stable supply of their products, lessening price fluctuations, while ensuring a stable income for Canadian farmers. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the general objectives of this system?


*Weighted to the true population proportion.
 *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



Support for the general objectives of the supply management system – by demographics

Q – As you may know, supply management is a system by which Canada’s dairy, poultry, and chicken farmers work to ensure a stable supply of their products, lessening price fluctuations, while ensuring a stable income for Canadian farmers. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the general objectives of this system?



*Weighted to the true population proportion.
 *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Support/Somewhat support	Atlantic (n=120)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=362)	Prairies (n=246)	BC (n=165)
	83.9%	79.2%	74.4%	70.9%	79.2%
	Men (n=578)	Women (n=521)	18 to 34 (n=183)	35 to 54 (n=412)	55 plus (n=504)
69.2%	82.9%	76.7%	76.2%	75.9%	

Oppose/Somewhat oppose	Atlantic (n=120)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=362)	Prairies (n=246)	BC (n=165)
	13.8%	12.5%	21.4%	23.1%	17.9%
	Men (n=578)	Women (n=521)	18 to 34 (n=183)	35 to 54 (n=412)	55 plus (n=504)
26.2%	11.4%	14.7%	19.5%	20.6%	


*Subgroups which are statistically different than the national average are highlighted yellow.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Canadian response to US targeting of Canada's farmers – Tracking

[2018] Q – During the NAFTA renegotiation, US President Donald Trump has been targeting Canadian farmers and the supply management system. What should the Canadian government do? [RANDOMIZE]

[2025/2026] Q – During the trade negotiations, US President Donald Trump has been targeting Canadian farmers and the supply management system. What should the Canadian government do? [RANDOMIZE]



**Note: This response option appeared as “Make some concessions but preserve the model” in the 2018 survey.

***Note: The “Ignore Donald Trump” response option appeared in the 2018 survey but was removed in the 2025 survey.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Canadian response to US targeting of Canada's farmers – by demographics

Q – During the trade negotiations, US President Donald Trump has been targeting Canadian farmers and the supply management system. What should the Canadian government do? [RANDOMIZE]


	2026-04 (n=1099)	Atlantic (n=120)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=362)	Prairies (n=246)	BC (n=165)	Men (n=578)	Women (n=521)	18-34 (n=183)	35-54 (n=412)	55 plus (n=504)
Defend Canadian farmers and supply management	64.2%	66.9%	72.8%	58.8%	58.4%	70.8%	57.6%	70.5%	68.5%	64.2%	61.4%
Make some concessions but preserve the system	19.9%	21.9%	14.5%	23.9%	19.9%	16.9%	21.8%	18.2%	17.5%	20.5%	21.0%
Abandon supply management	11.2%	9.8%	7.8%	11.7%	15.8%	10.3%	16.9%	5.7%	8.2%	12.3%	12.3%
Unsure	4.7%	1.4%	4.9%	5.5%	5.9%	1.9%	3.7%	5.6%	5.8%	3.0%	5.3%

*Subgroups which are statistically different than the national average are highlighted yellow.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Reported importance of ensuring Canada has as much control as possible over our food supply currently sits at 92 percent, consistent with the two previous waves conducted in February 2026 and December 2025.

Importance of ensuring Canada has as much control as possible over food supply – Tracking



**Note: In the July 2025 survey, this question was asked as part of a battery along with other questions pertaining to the importance of various government policies impacting the Canadian dairy sector and food supply. Starting from the December 2025 survey, this question was asked independently, without the other questions that appeared in the July 2025 battery.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
 *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.


Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



[2025-07] Q – Please rate your level of importance with the following where 0 is not at all important and 10 very important. [RANDOMIZE]
Ensuring Canada has as much control as possible over our food supply
 [STARTING FROM 2025-12] Q – On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is very important, please rate your level of importance with ensuring Canada has as much control as possible over our food supply.

Importance of ensuring Canada has as much control as possible over food supply – by demographics

Q – On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is very important, please rate your level of importance with ensuring Canada has as much control as possible over our food supply.



*Weighted to the true population proportion.
 *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.


	Atlantic (n=120)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=362)	Prairies (n=246)	BC (n=165)
Mean (0 to 10 scale)	9.3	9.3	9.1	8.8	9.2
	Men (n=578)	Women (n=521)	18 to 34 (n=183)	35 to 54 (n=412)	55 plus (n=504)
	8.9	9.3	8.9	9.2	9.2

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



The percentage of Canadians who report believing that Canada should strongly defend the interests of dairy farmers in trade negotiations remained steady relative to the three previous waves and currently sits at 65 percent. Women (mean score of 8.5 out of 10) are more likely than men (7.3 out of 10) to report believing that Canada should strongly defend the interests of dairy farmers in the trade negotiations.

Impressions on how strongly Canada should protect its dairy sector in negotiations – Tracking



■ Strongly defend (8-10) ■ Neutral (4-7) ■ Not at all defend (0-3)** ■ Unsure

**Note: the question in 2018 was asked on a 1 to 10 scale, while the question in 2025 was asked on a 0 to 10 scale. Responses were grouped from 8 to 10 to be directly comparable to the 2018 wave.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.

*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.


Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

[2018] Q – On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all defend and 10 very strongly defend, how strongly should the Canadian government defend the interests of the Canadian dairy sector in the NAFTA renegotiations?

[2025/2026] Q – On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all defend and 10 is very strongly defend, how strongly should the Canadian government defend the interests of the Canadian dairy farmers in the trade negotiations?

Impressions on how strongly Canada should protect its dairy sector in negotiations – by demographics

Q – On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all defend and 10 is very strongly defend, how strongly should the Canadian government defend the interests of the Canadian dairy farmers in the trade negotiations?



*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

**Note: the question in 2018 was asked on a 1 to 10 scale, while the question in 2025 was asked on a 0 to 10 scale. Responses were grouped from 8 to 10 to be directly comparable to the 2018 wave.

	Atlantic (n=120)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=362)	Prairies (n=246)	BC (n=165)
Mean (0 to 10 scale)	8.1	8.3	7.8	7.4	8.0
	Men (n=578)	Women (n=521)	18 to 34 (n=183)	35 to 54 (n=412)	55 plus (n=504)
	7.3	8.5	7.9	8.0	7.9

*Subgroups which are statistically different than the national average are highlighted yellow.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, March 31 to April 4, 2026, n=1099, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Element	Description	Element	Description
Research sponsor	Dairy Farmers of Canada	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2021) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure.
Population and Final Sample Size	1099 Randomly selected individuals.		
Source of Sample	Nanos Probability Panel	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.
Type of Sample	Probability	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.
Margin of Error	±3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2021) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey		
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Estimated Response Rate	13 percent, consistent with industry norms.
Demographics (Captured)	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six-digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Question Order	Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.
Fieldwork/Validation	Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online	Question Content	Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, views on economic issues, defence spending, US-Cuba relations, gas prices, air travel, views on the NDP, Canada's relationship with the EU, F-35 fighter jets, the Iran conflict, and nuclear weapons.
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.	Question Wording	The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals. In addition to the content questions in the report, two questions were asked related to managing the trade relationship which were used for internal purposes.
Time of Calls	Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.		
Field Dates	March 31 to April 4, 2026.	Research/Data Collection Supplier	Nanos Research
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.	Contact	Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. http://www.nanos.co Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: info@nanosresearch.com .
Standards	Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/		Data Tables



As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

nanos dimap analytika



This international joint venture between [dimap](http://www.dimap.com) and [Nanos](http://www.nanos.co) brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

EthicStratēgies

Ethic Strategies was created by the founding partners of [PAA Advisory](http://www.paaadvisory.com) and the [Nanos Research Corporation](http://www.nanos.co), both recognized leaders in research, advocacy, and advisory. Ethic provides bespoke strategic counsel, advice, and communications strategies to organizations facing serious issues. www.ethicstrategies.com

ABOUT NANOS

Any questions?



Nanos Research

(613) 234-4666, ext. 237

ea@nanosresearch.com

For more information on the firm, please visit www.nanos.co





NANOS IS YOUR GO-TO HIGH-STAKES RESEARCH PARTNER.

Delivering world-class solutions since 1987,
we are the leader in high velocity data insights and visualization.

Market | Consumer | Reputation | Policy | Insight

For more information about our services, please visit us at:

www.nanos.co