Over four in five oppose or somewhat oppose building new housing on land currently set aside as green spaces.

Nanos was retained by CTV News to conduct research among Canadians to gauge their views on the housing, particularly whether they support giving incentives to private developers to build new homes and rental units, building new housing on land currently set aside as green spaces and reducing the number of new immigrants into Canada until housing becomes more affordable.

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,044 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}, 2023$, as part of an omnibus survey. The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

This study was commissioned by CTV News and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Most oppose or somewhat oppose building new housing on land set aside as green spaces

A strong majority of Canadians oppose (64\%) or somewhat oppose (18\%) building new housing on land currently set aside as green spaces. Women are more likely to oppose this (87\% oppose/ somewhat oppose) than men (77\% oppose/somewhat oppose).

## Support most intense for reducing

 number of immigrants into Canada until housing becomes more affordableThree in five Canadians support ( $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ ) or somewhat support (24\%) reducing the number of new immigrants into Canada until housing becomes more affordable. Support is highest in the prairies ( $65 \%$ support/somewhat support) and lowest in B.C. (52\% support/somewhat support).

## A majority of Canadians support or

 somewhat support giving tax incentives to build rentalsOver half support giving tax incentives to developers to build new rental units ( $20 \%$ support, $35 \%$ somewhat support). Support is most intense in B.C. (61\% support/somewhat support), Québec (60\% support/somewhat support) and among older Canadians aged 55 plus (60\% support/somewhat support).

## Majority oppose or somewhat oppose

 giving tax incentives to build new homes Almost three in five oppose (35\%) or somewhat oppose (23\%) Governments giving tax incentives to private developers to build new homes.Opposition is most intense in the prairies (65\% oppose/somewhat oppose) and in Ontario (62\% oppose/somewhat oppose).

## Support for housing policies

Canadians are most likely to support reducing the number of new immigrants into Canada until housing becomes more affordable, followed by Government giving tax incentives to private developers to build new rental units.

Canadians are more likely to oppose Governments giving tax incentives to private developers to build new homes and overwhelmingly oppose building housing on green spaces.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September $2^{\text {nd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}, 2023, n=1044$, accurate
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## Support for Government giving tax incentives to private developers to build new rental units



- Support

■ Somewhat support

- Somewhat oppose

■ Oppose

- Unsure

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlantic } \\ (n=90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (n=252) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (n=350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=143) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 48.8\% | 59.5\% | 55.2\% | 44.9\% | 61.3\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=504) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=537) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 53.1\% | 56.5\% | 49.2\% | 53.2\% | 59.7\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlantic } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (n=252) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (n=350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (n=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (\mathrm{n}=143) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 44.7\% | 34.4\% | 41.7\% | 50.8\% | 33.8\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Men } \\ & (n=504) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=537) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 43.6\% | 37.9\% | 42.6\% | 42.3\% | 38.3\% |
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## Support for Governments giving tax incentives to private developers to build new homes

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlantic } \\ (\mathrm{n}=90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=252) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=143) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40.9\% | 42.6\% | 33.8\% | 31.1\% | 40.0\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=504) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=537) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 39.7\% | 33.8\% | 38.6\% | 37.0\% | 35.3\% |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlantic } \\ (n=90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=252) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (n=350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{n}=209 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=143) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 51.4\% | 50.2\% | 62.3\% | 64.8\% | 52.0\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=504) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=537) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 35 \text { to } 54 \\ (n=396) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 56.0\% | 59.4\% | 54.3\% | 58.2\% | 59.7\% |

## Q - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose

 or oppose the following? [RANDOMIZE]Governments giving tax incentives to private developers to build new homes

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September 2nd to 4th, 2023, n=1044, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .
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## Support for building new housing on land currently set aside as green spaces

|  | Atlantic $(n=90)$ | Quebec $(n=252)$ | Ontario $(n=350)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (\mathrm{n}=143) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12.2\% | 15.4\% | 15.3\% | 19.1\% | 10.7\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=504) \end{gathered}$ | Women $(\mathrm{n}=537)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (n=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 20.9\% | 9.7\% | 15.5\% | 17.5\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Atlantic $(n=90)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=252) \end{aligned}$ | Ontario $(n=350)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (\mathrm{n}=143) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 86.5\% | 80.6\% | 83.3\% | 78.1\% | 85.3\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Men } \\ & (n=504) \end{aligned}$ | Women $(n=537)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (n=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 77.3\% | 86.9\% | 81.8\% | 79.3\% | 84.9\% |
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Support for reducing the number of new immigrants into Canada until housing becomes more affordable

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlantic } \\ (\mathrm{n}=90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (n=252) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=143) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 57.2\% | 60.6\% | 60.0\% | 64.8\% | 51.9\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=504) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=537) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 60.7\% | 58.6\% | 60.5\% | 59.5\% | 59.2\% |
|  | Atlantic (n=90) | Quebec <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=252$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=143) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 37.0\% | 35.1\% | 35.3\% | 31.7\% | 41.2\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=504) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=537) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=174) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=474) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 33.9\% | 37.2\% | 35.6\% | 34.9\% | 36.1\% |

Q - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following? [RANDOMIZE]
Reducing the number of new immigrants into Canada until housing becomes more affordable

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September 2nd to 4th, 2023, $\mathrm{n}=1044$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.


Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and celllines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,044 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $2^{\text {nd }}$ and September $4^{\text {th }}, 2023$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by CTV News and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.


As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

Ethic Strategies was created by the founding partners of PAA Advisory and the Nanos Research Corporation, both recognized leaders in research, advocacy, and advisory. Ethic provides bespoke strategic counsel, advice, and communications strategies to organizations facing serious issues. www.ethicstrategies.com

## Any questions?

## ,

Nanos Research
(613) 234-4666, ext. 237
ea@nanosresearch.com

For more information on the firm, please visit www.nanos.co

## NANOS IS YOUR GO-TO HIGH-STAKES RESEARCH PARTNER.

Delivering world-class solutions since 1987, we are the leader in high velocity data insights and visualization.

Market | Consumer| Reputation| Policy| Insight
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Our next few questions are about housing. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Canada } \\ & 2023-09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | Other | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - <br> Governments giving <br> tax incentives to private developers to build new rental units | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1044 | 90 | 252 | 350 | 209 | 143 | 504 | 537 | 3 | 174 | 396 | 474 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1003 | 69 | 231 | 388 | 176 | 139 | 490 | 510 | 3 | 269 | 322 | 412 |
|  | Support | \% | 20.2 | 19.2 | 26.6 | 19.9 | 11.1 | 22.3 | 21.5 | 19.0 |  | 21.0 | 18.9 | 20.7 |
|  | Somewhat support | \% | 34.6 | 29.6 | 32.9 | 35.3 | 33.8 | 39.0 | 31.6 | 37.5 |  | 28.2 | 34.3 | 39.0 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 17.7 | 19.1 | 16.6 | 19.2 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 19.4 | 16.3 |  | 16.0 | 18.3 | 18.4 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 23.0 | 25.6 | 17.8 | 22.6 | 34.0 | 17.7 | 24.2 | 21.6 |  | 26.6 | 24.0 | 19.9 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 4.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 5.6 |  | 8.1 | 4.5 | 2.0 |

Our next few questions are about housing. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & 2023-09 \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | Other | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question Governments giving tax incentives to private developers to build new homes | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1044 | 90 | 252 | 350 | 209 | 143 | 504 | 537 | 3 | 174 | 396 | 474 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1003 | 69 | 231 | 388 | 176 | 139 | 490 | 510 | 3 | 269 | 322 | 412 |
|  | Support | \% | 11.1 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 11.4 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 13.3 | 9.0 |  | 13.1 | 9.1 | 11.2 |
|  | Somewhat support | \% | 25.7 | 28.1 | 28.7 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 30.6 | 26.5 | 24.8 |  | 25.4 | 27.9 | 24.1 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 23.2 | 19.6 | 25.7 | 22.9 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 24.3 |  | 16.5 | 23.5 | 27.3 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 34.6 | 31.7 | 24.6 | 39.4 | 42.1 | 29.8 | 33.9 | 35.1 |  | 37.8 | 34.7 | 32.4 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 5.5 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 4.2 | 6.8 |  | 7.1 | 4.9 | 4.9 |

Our next few questions are about housing. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 2023-09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | Other | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - Building new housing on land currently set aside as green spaces | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1044 | 90 | 252 | 350 | 209 | 143 | 504 | 537 | 3 | 174 | 396 | 474 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1003 | 69 | 231 | 388 | 176 | 139 | 490 | 510 | 3 | 269 | 322 | 412 |
|  | Support | \% | 5.4 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 8.6 | 2.4 |  | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4.7 |
|  | Somewhat support | \% | 9.7 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 16.6 | 7.4 | 12.3 | 7.2 |  | 9.3 | 11.8 | 8.3 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 17.7 | 16.6 | 31.4 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 21.0 | 19.5 | 16.2 |  | 19.7 | 18.8 | 15.6 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 64.5 | 70.0 | 49.2 | 72.3 | 65.6 | 64.3 | 57.9 | 70.7 |  | 62.1 | 60.4 | 69.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.6 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 3.4 |  | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.1 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,044 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}, 2023$. The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 . Columns with an $n$ value under 30 have been shaded.

Our next few questions are about housing. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Canada } \\ 2023-09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | Other | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - <br> Reducing the | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1044 | 90 | 252 | 350 | 209 | 143 | 504 | 537 | 3 | 174 | 396 | 474 |
| number of new |  | Wgt N | 1003 | 69 | 231 | 388 | 176 | 139 | 490 | 510 | 3 | 269 | 322 | 412 |
| immigrants into <br> Canada until | Support | \% | 35.4 | 33.8 | 30.3 | 34.6 | 49.0 | 29.9 | 38.8 | 32.2 |  | 39.7 | 37.5 | 31.1 |
| housing becomes | Somewhat support | \% | 24.2 | 23.4 | 30.3 | 25.3 | 15.7 | 22.0 | 21.9 | 26.4 |  | 20.8 | 22.0 | 28.1 |
| more affordable | Somewhat oppose | \% | 16.8 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 17.3 | 13.5 | 22.3 | 16.5 | 17.1 |  | 17.9 | 15.8 | 16.8 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 18.8 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 20.1 |  | 17.7 | 19.1 | 19.2 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 4.8 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 4.2 |  | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.8 |

