## Majority support or somewhat support subsidies to businesses that invest in green energy projects.

## Key Findings



STRONG MAJORITY SUPPORT OR SOMEWHAT SUPPORT SUBSIDIES TO BUSINESSES THAT INVEST IN GREEN

## ENERGY PROJECTS IN CANADA

Over seven in ten Canadians (33\%) support or somewhat support (38\%) subsidies to businesses that invest in green energy projects in Canada, while just under one in four Canadians somewhat oppose (11\%) or oppose (13\%) such subsidies. Residents of the Prairies are less likely to support (25\%) subsidies to businesses that invest in green energy than residents of other regions and provinces.

DECLINING INTENSITY OF SUPPORT FOR OFFERING INCENTIVES TO USE ALTERNATIVE ENERGY FROM 2016 WAVE

Although a strong majority still support (43\%) or somewhat support ( $31 \%$ ) offering Canadians incentives to use alternative energy sources for home and travel, the intensity support has fallen by 16 percentage points since 2016 (59\% in 2016 compared to $43 \%$ in 2023). Residents of Quebec are more likely to support (45\%) using incentives for alternative energy use than residents of the Prairies ( $35 \%$ support).

## Support for subsidies to businesses that invest in green energy projects in Canada

■ Support
$\square$ Somewhat oppose

- Unsure

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlantic } \\ (n=93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=236) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (n=300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=217) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=166) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70.3\% | 78.9\% | 72.5\% | 61.9\% | 70.8\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=542) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=465) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=157) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=374) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=481) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 66.1\% | 77.2\% | 75.7\% | 67.8\% | 72.1\% |


| Dppose/Somewhat oppose | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlantic } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=236) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (n=217) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=166) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25.4\% | 17.2\% | 22.6\% | 36.3\% | 25.0\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (n=542) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=465) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=157) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (n=374) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=481) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 30.5\% | 18.1\% | 19.7\% | 27.6\% | 24.6\% |

Since March 2016, those that say they support offering Canadians incentives to use alternative energy sources for home and travel have declined by 16 percentage points (59\% in March 2016, 43\% in March 2023). Residents of Quebec are more likely to support (45\%) incentives to use alternative energy than residents of the Prairies (35\%).

Q - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following: [ROTATE]

The federal government offering incentives to Canadians to use alternative energy sources for home and travel (ie electric cars, solar panels)?

Support on offering Canadians incentives to use alternative energy

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

## Support for subsidies to businesses that invest in green energy projects in Canada



- Unsure

|  | Atlantic (n=93) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=236) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=217) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=166) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 78.3\% | 83.5\% | 76.4\% | 58.2\% | 70.5\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (n=542) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=465) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=157) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (n=374) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=481) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 70.3\% | 77.6\% | 76.5\% | 69.0\% | 76.8\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlantic } \\ & (n=93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (n=236) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=217) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (\mathrm{n}=166) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 20.6\% | 12.3\% | 19.9\% | 39.9\% | 27.4\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (n=542) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=465) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (n=157) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to } 54 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=374) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (n=481) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 27.1\% | 18.8\% | 18.9\% | 27.6\% | 21.4\% | accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and celllines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,012 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between February $26^{\text {th }}$ and March $1^{\text {st }}, 2023$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online.

The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding
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## Population and Fina

 Sample SizeSource of Sample
Type of Sample
Margin of Error

Mode of Survey

Sampling Method Base

Demographics (Captured)

Fieldwork/Validation

Number of Calls

Time of Calls

Field Dates
Language of Survey

Standards

The Globe and Mail

1012 Randomly selected individuals.

Nanos Hybrid Panel

## Probability

$\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20
RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey

The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.

Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older
Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.
Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online

Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.
ndividuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.

February $26^{\text {th }}$ to March $1^{\text {st }}, 2023$.
The survey was conducted in both English and French

Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council
(CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/

## Weighting of Data

## Screening

Excluded Demographics

Stratification

Estimated Response Rate

Question Order

Question Content

Question Wording

Research/Data Collection Supplier

The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2021) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensur a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure

Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.
ndividuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.

By age and gender using the latest Census information (2021) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.

14 percent, consistent with industry norms.

Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.

Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on olitical issues, views on economic issues, views on Canada-US relation and the federal budget

The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.

Nanos Research

Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions.
http://www.nanos.co
Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237
Email: info@nanosresearch.com.

As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com

## Any questions?

## "

Nanos Research
(613) 234-4666, ext. 237
ea@nanosresearch.com

For more information on the firm, please visit www.nanos.co

## Nanos services

$\checkmark$ As premier market and public opinion research firms, we conduct research around the world for a variety of clients.
$\checkmark$ Nanos offers a full suite of services ranging from bespoke research engagements to strategic assessments and mission planning. Our firm applies the latest machine learning algorithms to deliver exceptionally rigorous and insightful intelligence to clients.
$\checkmark$ At Nanos, we believe that small steps can lead to large insights. We'll sit down with you to learn about your challenges and work on them together.
$\checkmark$ For more information about how we can help, please visit us at: www.nanos.co

## Nanos Research offers a vertically

 integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to exceed the highest standards.
## Since

9087
top executives have turned to Nanos for world-class data and strategic insight.

坒

2019-2329 - Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey - February omni - Green Energy - STAT SHEET

The United States government recently approved large subsidy programs to encourage businesses to invest in clean energy projects and to support consumer purchases in areas like electric vehicles. Finance Minister Chrystia Freeland has said similar policies will be a priority in the upcoming federal budget. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following:

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 2023-02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | Other | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - New spending in the form of subsidies to | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1012 | 93 | 236 | 300 | 217 | 166 | 542 | 465 | 5 | 157 | 374 | 481 |
| businesses that invest in |  | Wgt N | 1005 | 69 | 233 | 388 | 176 | 139 | 490 | 510 | 5 | 270 | 324 | 411 |
| green energy projects in Canada | Support | \% | 33.4 | 24.1 | 31.4 | 38.1 | 24.8 | 39.0 | 33.5 | 33.2 | 40.0 | 36.4 | 33.8 | 31.1 |
|  | Somewhat support | \% | 38.4 | 46.2 | 47.5 | 34.4 | 37.1 | 31.8 | 32.6 | 44.0 | 20.0 | 39.3 | 34.1 | 41.1 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 11.3 | 7.8 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 13.8 | 8.9 | 20.0 | 9.4 | 12.6 | 11.6 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 12.9 | 17.7 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 25.9 | 15.2 | 16.8 | 9.2 | 20.0 | 10.3 | 15.0 | 13.0 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.2 |

The United States government recently approved large subsidy programs to encourage businesses to invest in clean energy projects and to support consumer purchases in areas like electric vehicles. Finance Minister Chrystia Freeland has said similar policies will be a priority in the upcoming federal budget. Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following:

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & 2023-02 \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | Other | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - The federal government offering incentives | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1012 | 93 | 236 | 300 | 217 | 166 | 542 | 465 | 5 | 157 | 374 | 481 |
| to Canadians to use alternative |  | Wgt N | 1005 | 69 | 233 | 388 | 176 | 139 | 490 | 510 | 5 | 270 | 324 | 411 |
| energy sources for home and | Support | \% | 43.4 | 45.6 | 45.0 | 47.0 | 35.3 | 39.9 | 41.8 | 44.8 | 60.0 | 48.5 | 40.6 | 42.3 |
| panels) | Somewhat support | \% | 30.8 | 32.7 | 38.5 | 29.5 | 22.9 | 30.6 | 28.6 | 32.8 | 40.0 | 27.9 | 28.4 | 34.5 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 10.8 | 11.8 | 8.1 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 9.3 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 12.0 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 9.0 | 26.8 | 15.9 | 15.2 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 15.2 | 12.1 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.1 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.8 |

[^0]
[^0]:    Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,012 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between February $26^{\text {th }}$ and March $1^{\text {st }}, 2023$. The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

