
Close to six in ten Canadians are comfortable or somewhat comfortable with the agreement between the Liberals and the NDP which would delay a possible election until 2025 – one in three say it has no impact on their vote intention.





In March 2022, the federal Liberal and NDP parties announced they would have a formal Parliamentary cooperation agreement in which NDP MPs pledged to vote to keep the Liberal government in power until June 2025 in exchange for government action on NDP policy priorities, such as dental care. Are you comfortable, somewhat comfortable, somewhat uncomfortable or uncomfortable with the agreement between the Liberals and the NDP which would delay a possible election until 2025?



Close to six in ten Canadians are comfortable or somewhat comfortable with the agreement between the Liberals and the NDP which would delay a possible election until 2025. Ontario residents are most likely to say so (48% comfortable, 16% somewhat comfortable) compared to Prairie residents (30% comfortable, 13% somewhat comfortable).

,

GLOBE AND MAIL* (n) NANO

^{*}Weighted to the true population proportion.

^{*}Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

^{*}The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

Level of comfort on Liberal-NDP cooperation agreement by demographics

Q

In March 2022, the federal Liberal and NDP parties announced they would have a formal Parliamentary cooperation agreement in which NDP MPs pledged to vote to keep the Liberal government in power until June 2025 in exchange for government action on NDP policy priorities, such as dental care. Are you comfortable, somewhat comfortable, somewhat uncomfortable or uncomfortable with the agreement between the Liberals and the NDP which would delay a possible election until 2025?

Comfortable/ Somewhat comfortable

Atlantic (n=97)	Quebec (n=216)	Ontario (n=332)	Prairies (n=206)	BC (n=150)
63.8%	59.1%	64.5%	43.2%	57.3%
Men (n=528)	Women (n=473)	18 to 34 (n=268)	35 to 54 (n=392)	55 plus (n=341)
51.8%	64.6%	59.6%	59.5%	56.4%
	Uncomfor	rtable/ Somewhat uncomfor	rtable	
Atlantic (n=97)	Quebec (n=216)	Ontario (n=332)	Prairies (n=206)	BC (n=150)
33.6%	37.5%	34.0%	54.8%	41.4%
Men (n=528)	Women (n=473)	18 to 34 (n=268)	35 to 54 (n=392)	55 plus (n=341)
47.0%	32.5%	37.2%	38.6%	42.2%

^{*}Weighted to the true population proportion.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, May 26th to 30th, 2022, n=1,001, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.






^{*}Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Impact of Liberal-NDP agreement on likelihood of voting for a political party

Thinking about the formal Parliamentary cooperation agreement between the federal Liberal and NDP Parties, what impact, if any, will it have on your likelihood to vote for the following political parties in the next federal election: [RANDOMIZE]



About one in three Canadians say the Parliamentary cooperation agreement between the federal Liberal and NDP Parties will have no impact on their vote. One in four say it makes them more likely to vote Conservative, while just under one in five each say it makes them more likely to vote Liberal or NDP.


Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, May 26th to 30th, 2022, n=1,001, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.





^{*}Weighted to the true population proportion.

^{*}Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,001 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between May 26th and 30th, 2022 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialing with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Element	Description	Element	Description
Research sponsor	The Globe and Mail	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada.
Population and Final Sample Size	1001 Randomly selected individuals.		See tables for full weighting disclosure
Source of Sample	Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to
Type of Sample	Probability		ensure the integrity of the data.
Margin of Error	± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey	znoidudu z emegrapines	participate.
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.
Demographics (Captured)	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Estimated Response Rate	11 percent, consistent with industry norms.
Fieldwork/Validation	Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online	Question Order	Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.	Question Content	Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included:
Time of Calls	Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30- 9:30pm local time for the respondent.	Question Content	views on political and economic issues.
Field Dates	May 26 th to 30 th , 2022.	Question Wording	The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.	Research/Data Collection Supplier	Nanos Research
Standards	Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/	Contact	Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. http://www.nanos.co Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: info@nanosresearch.com.



As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

nanos dimap analytika



dimap

This international joint venture between <u>dimap</u> and <u>Nanos</u> brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. <u>www.nanosdimap.com</u>

NANOS RUTHERFORD McKAY & Co.

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com





NANOS THE GLOBE AND MAIL*

2022-2168 - Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey - Globe May - STAT SHEET

					Reg	gion			Ge	nder		Age	
		_	Canada 2022-05	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question - Our next couple question are	Total	Unwgt N	1001	97	216	332	206	150	528	473	268	392	341
about federal		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	489	511	273	341	386
political parties in the House of Commons.	Comfortable	%	39.3	44.2	31.9	48.4	30.2	35.9	33.5	44.8	39.6	40.6	37.8
In March 2022, the federal Liberal and	Somewhat comfortable	%	19.1	19.6	27.1	16.2	13.0	21.3	18.3	19.8	20.0	18.9	18.6
NDP parties announced they	Somewhat uncomfortable	%	11.0	8.4	15.9	9.0	7.4	14.6	10.0	12.0	10.0	6.7	15.5
would have a formal	Uncomfortable	%	28.6	25.2	21.6	25.0	47.4	26.8	37.1	20.5	27.1	31.9	26.7
Parliamentary cooperation	Unsure	%	2.1	2.6	3.4	1.4	2.0	1.4	1.2	2.9	3.2	1.9	1.4
agreement in which NDP MPs pledged to vote to keep the Liberal government in power until June 2025 in exchange for government action on NDP policy priorities, such as dental care. Are you comfortable, somewhat comfortable, somewhat uncomfortable or uncomfortable with the agreement between the Liberals and the NDP which would delay a possible election until 2025?													

NANOS THE GLOBE AND MAIL*

2022-2168 - Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey - Globe May - STAT SHEET

		_			Reg	gion			Ge	nder		Age	
			Canada 2022-05	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question - Thinking about the formal	Total	Unwgt N	1000	97	216	331	206	150	528	472	268	391	341
Parliamentary		Wgt N	999	67	233	383	183	133	489	510	273	340	386
cooperation agreement between the federal Liberal	More likely to vote Liberal	%	17.9	13.7	15.4	22.9	15.2	14.0	14.0	21.7	16.5	18.1	18.8
and NDP Parties, what impact, if any,	More likely to vote NDP	%	16.5	17.0	13.9	17.9	15.7	17.8	12.4	20.4	24.9	16.5	10.6
will it have on your likelihood to vote for	More likely to vote Conservative	%	25.9	20.6	16.0	24.0	40.1	31.8	33.4	18.7	23.0	29.2	25.1
the following political parties in the next	More likely to vote Green	%	4.4	4.9	2.2	4.4	2.2	11.1	3.3	5.4	7.0	3.0	3.8
federal election: (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE]	More likely to vote Bloc Québécois	%	4.5	0.0	17.9	0.3	1.0	0.0	5.0	4.0	3.7	5.0	4.6
[IUIIIDOIVIIZE]	No impact on vote	%	31.6	40.0	36.4	31.4	24.8	29.0	30.8	32.3	30.9	29.8	33.7
	Unsure	%	7.3	12.5	6.8	8.1	6.7	4.6	5.9	8.7	7.7	6.7	7.7
	More likely to vote People's Party	%	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.7	1.9	2.2	0.3	1.8	1.7	0.4
	More likely to vote Other party	%	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.1	0.0	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.5	0.6

^{*}Values are based on the percentage of positive responses to a specific response option (columns exceed 100%)

^{**}Multifrequency tab based on multiple responses

·			·-		Reg	gion		·	Gender			Age	
			Canada 2022-05	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question - Thinking about the formal	Total	Unwgt N	1097	106	236	367	223	165	568	529	307	432	358
Parliamentary		Wgt N	1099	73	256	424	200	147	528	571	317	376	406
cooperation agreement between the federal Liberal and NDP Parties, what impact, if any, will it have on your likelihood to vote for the following political parties in the next federal election: (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE]	More likely to vote Liberal	%	16.3	12.5	14.1	20.7	13.9	12.7	13.0	19.4	14.2	16.4	17.9
	More likely to vote NDP	%	15.0	15.5	12.7	16.2	14.3	16.2	11.5	18.2	21.4	14.9	10.1
	More likely to vote Conservative	%	23.5	18.8	14.6	21.7	36.7	28.8	31.0	16.7	19.8	26.4	23.8
	More likely to vote Green	%	4.0	4.5	2.0	4.0	2.0	10.1	3.1	4.9	6.0	2.7	3.6
	More likely to vote Bloc Québécois	%	4.1	0.0	16.3	0.3	0.9	0.0	4.6	3.6	3.2	4.5	4.4
	No impact on vote	%	28.7	36.5	33.2	28.4	22.7	26.3	28.6	28.9	26.6	27.0	32.0
	Unsure	%	6.7	11.4	6.2	7.3	6.1	4.1	5.5	7.8	6.6	6.1	7.3
	More likely to vote People's Party	%	1.1	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.6	1.8	2.0	0.3	1.5	1.5	0.4
	More likely to vote Other party	%	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.9	0.0	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.6

^{*}Values are based on the proportion an activity represents of all response options (columns add up to 100%).

^{**}Multifrequency tab based on multiple responses