


**Strong majority of Canadians believe all Members of Parliament should be vaccinated against COVID-19; are less in favour of providing alternatives to those who don't disclose vaccination status.**

# Levels of agreement

**Q** Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]




“ More than eight in ten Canadians agree or somewhat agree that all Members of Parliament should be vaccinated, while just over one in four agree or somewhat agree that MP’s who don’t want to disclose their vaccination status should be offered alternatives. ”

\*Weighted to the true population proportion.  
 \*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.  
 \*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, October 31<sup>st</sup> to November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2021, n=1026, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

# Agreement that all Members of Parliament should be vaccinated for COVID-19



- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Unsure

\*Weighted to the true population proportion.  
 \*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.  
 \*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

**Q** Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]

All Members of Parliament should be vaccinated for COVID-19

“

A strong majority of Canadians agree or somewhat agree that all Members of Parliament should be vaccinated for COVID-19. Residents of Atlantic Canada are more likely to agree or somewhat agree (91%) with this than residents of the Prairies (78%).

”

# Agreement that all Members of Parliament should be vaccinated for COVID-19 by demographics

**Q** Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]  
All Members of Parliament should be vaccinated for COVID-19



		Agree/ Somewhat agree				
Atlantic (n=91)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=335)	Prairies (n=229)	BC (n=165)		
<b>90.5%</b>	<b>87.0%</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>84.3%</b>		
Men (n=531)	Women (n=495)	18 to 34 (n=209)	35 to 54 (n=373)	55 plus (n=444)		
<b>81.2%</b>	<b>85.8%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>85.0%</b>	<b>90.2%</b>		
		Disagree/Somewhat disagree				
Atlantic (n=91)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=335)	Prairies (n=229)	BC (n=165)		
<b>8.4%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>		
Men (n=531)	Women (n=495)	18 to 34 (n=209)	35 to 54 (n=373)	55 plus (n=444)		
<b>16.9%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>		


\*Weighted to the true population proportion.  
\*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

# Agreement that Members of Parliament who do not wish to reveal their vaccination status should be offered alternatives

Q

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]

Members of Parliament who do not wish to reveal their vaccination status should be offered alternatives, such as daily rapid testing or the ability to participate in House of Commons debates by remote video link



- Agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Unsure

“ Canadians are more likely to disagree or somewhat disagree that Members of Parliament who do not wish to reveal their vaccination status should be offered alternatives, such as daily rapid testing or the ability to participate in House of Commons debates by remote video link. ”

\*Weighted to the true population proportion.  
 \*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.  
 \*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

# Agreement that Members of Parliament who do not wish to reveal their vaccination status should be offered alternatives by demographics

Q

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]

Members of Parliament who do not wish to reveal their vaccination status should be offered alternatives, such as daily rapid testing or the ability to participate in House of Commons debates by remote video link



		Agree/ Somewhat agree				
Atlantic (n=91)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=335)	Prairies (n=229)	BC (n=165)		
<b>38.6%</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>41.9%</b>		
Men (n=531)	Women (n=495)	18 to 34 (n=209)	35 to 54 (n=373)	55 plus (n=444)		
<b>43.0%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>43.5%</b>		
		Disagree/Somewhat disagree				
Atlantic (n=91)	Quebec (n=206)	Ontario (n=335)	Prairies (n=229)	BC (n=165)		
<b>57.6%</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>56.7%</b>	<b>49.9%</b>	<b>56.7%</b>		
Men (n=531)	Women (n=495)	18 to 34 (n=209)	35 to 54 (n=373)	55 plus (n=444)		
<b>55.0%</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>55.2%</b>		

\*Weighted to the true population proportion.  
\*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, October 31<sup>st</sup> to November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2021, n=1026, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.





Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,026 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between October 31<sup>st</sup> and November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2021 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

# METHODOLOGY

Element	Description	Element	Description
Research sponsor	The Globe and Mail	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure
Population and Final Sample Size	1026 Randomly selected individuals.	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.
Source of Sample	Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.
Type of Sample	Probability	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.
Margin of Error	±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Estimated Response Rate	14 percent, consistent with industry norms.
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey	Question Order	This was the only question asked on this topic.
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Question Content	Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, views on economic issues, views on fuel, carbon emissions, climate change and government support.
Demographics (Captured)	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Question Wording	The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.
Fieldwork/Validation	Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online	Research/Data Collection Supplier	Nanos Research
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.	Contact	Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <a href="http://www.nanos.co">http://www.nanos.co</a> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: info@nanosresearch.com.
Time of Calls	Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.		
Field Dates	October 31 <sup>st</sup> to November 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 2021.		
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.		
Standards	Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. <a href="https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/">https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/</a>		





As one of North America’s premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. [www.nanos.co](http://www.nanos.co)

### nanos dimap analytika



This international joint venture between [dimap](#) and [Nanos](#) brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. [www.nanosdimap.com](http://www.nanosdimap.com)

NANOS RUTHERFORD MCKAY & Co.

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. [www.nrmpublicaffairs.com](http://www.nrmpublicaffairs.com)

# ABOUT NANOS



# TABULATIONS

**2021-2012 – Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey – November Omni – Parliament Vaccinations – STAT SHEET**

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]

			Region					Gender		Age			
			Canada 2021-10	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question – All Members of Parliament should be vaccinated for COVID-19	Total	Unwgt N	1026	91	206	335	229	165	531	495	209	373	444
		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	490	510	273	341	386
	Agree	%	75.2	82.6	73.2	75.5	70.8	80.5	73.7	76.7	64.1	77.3	81.3
	Somewhat agree	%	8.3	7.9	13.8	7.4	6.9	3.8	7.6	9.1	8.3	7.7	8.9
	Somewhat disagree	%	3.4	3.0	1.8	4.2	4.0	3.1	5.2	1.6	5.7	3.2	1.9
	Disagree	%	11.1	5.4	9.3	10.8	15.5	12.0	11.7	10.6	19.7	9.3	6.7
	Unsure	%	1.9	1.1	1.9	2.1	2.9	0.7	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.1

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following statements: [RANDOMIZE]

			Region					Gender		Age			
			Canada 2021-10	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question – Members of Parliament who do not wish to reveal their vaccination status should be offered alternatives, such as daily rapid testing or the ability to participate in House of Commons debates by remote video link	Total	Unwgt N	1026	91	206	335	229	165	531	495	209	373	444
		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	490	510	273	341	386
	Agree	%	28.3	27.4	30.3	27.2	30.6	25.3	26.7	29.9	29.5	26.0	29.6
	Somewhat agree	%	15.4	11.2	16.7	14.6	16.3	16.6	16.4	14.5	17.4	15.5	13.9
	Somewhat disagree	%	10.0	13.1	14.2	8.4	7.8	8.6	8.1	11.9	8.7	12.2	8.9
	Disagree	%	44.2	44.5	36.8	48.3	42.1	48.1	46.9	41.6	41.3	44.2	46.2
	Unsure	%	2.0	3.9	1.9	1.5	3.2	1.4	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.4