

Canadians are nearly twice as likely to say reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples is important rather than not important to them in terms of influencing their vote.



Importance of reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples in terms of influencing vote in next election





Over half of Canadians (52%) say reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples is important to them in terms of influencing their vote in a possible federal election. Women (mean of 6.9) rate reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples higher in terms of importance in influencing their vote than men (mean of 5.1).





^{*}Weighted to the true population proportion.

^{*}Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Importance of reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples in terms of influencing vote in next election - by demographics



On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is not at all important and 10 is very important, how important is reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples in terms of influencing your vote in a possible federal election?

	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	BC
	(n=92)	(n=225)	(n=325)	(n=193)	(n=167)
Score	6.1	6.1	6.1	5.6	6.1
Mean Score	Men	Women	18-34	35-54	55 plus
	(n=523)	(n=479)	(n=231)	(n=384)	(n=387)
	5.1	6.9	6.2	6.1	5.8

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, July 30th to August 2nd, 2021, n=1002, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.






NANOS RESEARCH

^{*}Weighted to the true population proportion.

^{*}Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,002 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between July 30th to August 2nd, 2021 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Element	Description	Element	Description					
Research sponsor	The Globe and Mail	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure					
Population and Final Sample Size	1002 Randomly selected individuals.	Weighting of Data						
Source of Sample	Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel	Communication	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a					
Type of Sample	Probability	Screening	political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.					
Margin of Error	±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.		Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell					
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey	Excluded Demographics	lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.					
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.					
Demographics (Captured)	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Estimated Response Rate	14 percent, consistent with industry norms.					
Fieldwork/Validation	Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online	Question Order	Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.					
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.		they appeared in the original questionnaire.					
Time of Calls	Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.	Question Content	Previous content included questions political and economics issues, federal election, vaccinations and the 2022 Olympics.					
Field Dates	July 30 th to August 2 nd , 2021	Question Wording	The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.					
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.	Research/Data Collection Supplier	Nanos Research Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. http://www.nanos.co Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: info@nanosresearch.com.					
Standards	Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/	Contact						



As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

nanos dimap analytika



dimap

This international joint venture between <u>dimap</u> and <u>Nanos</u> brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. <u>www.nanosdimap.com</u>

NANOS RUTHERFORD McKAY & Co.

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com



NANOS THE GLOBE AND MAIL*

2021-1939 – Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey – July Omni – STAT SHEET – Indigenous Reconciliation

			Region						Ge	nder	Age		
			Canada 2021-07	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question - On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is not at all important and 10 is very important, how important is	Total	Unwgt N	1002	92	225	325	193	167	523	479	231	384	387
		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	490	510	273	341	386
		Mean	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.1	5.6	6.1	5.1	6.9	6.2	6.1	5.8
reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples		Median	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	6.0
in terms of influencing your	Not at all important (0)	%	16.3	19.0	12.8	16.5	20.7	14.5	22.4	10.5	14.8	17.1	16.7
vote in a possible federal election?	1	%	4.1	3.4	6.8	2.2	4.3	5.2	4.8	3.5	2.9	3.7	5.3
	2	%	3.4	1.7	2.9	3.6	2.9	5.2	5.5	1.4	3.4	3.6	3.2
	3	%	3.5	2.3	3.5	3.9	4.2	1.5	4.4	2.5	5.4	2.6	2.8
	4	%	2.3	0.8	3.4	2.2	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.7	1.8	2.4
	5	%	10.1	10.1	9.8	10.5	11.0	8.3	10.8	9.5	7.6	8.1	13.6
	6	%	5.8	6.4	6.6	5.0	5.4	6.9	5.6	6.0	6.0	6.2	5.3
	7	%	10.4	8.4	8.1	12.7	8.6	11.7	11.9	9.1	11.1	13.3	7.4
	8	%	8.3	8.0	7.8	8.9	7.5	8.2	6.9	9.6	6.8	7.4	10.0
	9	%	5.7	5.7	6.0	3.3	10.3	5.9	4.9	6.5	5.9	6.5	4.9
	Very important (10)	%	27.8	31.0	29.3	29.1	21.7	28.5	19.0	36.3	30.7	28.7	25.0
	Unsure	%	2.2	3.2	3.0	2.0	1.6	1.9	1.7	2.7	2.5	0.9	3.2