


Vaccine Report Card Tracking: Federal and provincial government continue to receive poor grades on vaccine rollout.

Views on FEDERAL government success with the vaccine rollout - Tracking



*Weighted to the true population proportion.

*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, April 29th to May 3rd, 2021, n=1025, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Q

On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is a very poor job and 10 is a very good job, how would you rate the job that the following are doing with the vaccine rollout [ROTATE]

The Government of Canada

“

Canadians' impressions on the federal government's vaccine roll out have improved since March but remain lower than the February high.

Residents of Quebec rate the federal government vaccine roll out highest (mean of 6.7 out of 10) while residents of the prairies give the lowest rating (mean score of 4.1 out of 10).


”

Rating the federal government on Covid-19 vaccine roll out

Q

On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is a very poor job and 10 is a very good job, how would you rate the job that the following are doing with the vaccine rollout [ROTATE]

The Government of Canada



- Very good job (7-10)
- Neutral (4-6)
- Very poor job (0-3)
- Unsure

Mean


	Atlantic (n=89)	Quebec (n=220)	Ontario (n=345)	Prairies (n=210)	BC (n=161)
Mean	6.1	6.7	4.6	4.1	4.9
Men (n=546)		Women (n=479)	18 to 34 (n=193)	35 to 54 (n=438)	55 plus (n=394)
	4.8	5.5	4.8	4.9	5.6

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
 *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, April 29th to May 3rd, 2021, n=1025, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



Views on PROVINCIAL government success with the vaccine rollout - Tracking



*Weighted to the true population proportion.

*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, April 29th to May 3rd, 2021, n=1025, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Q

On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is a very poor job and 10 is a very good job, how would you rate the job that the following are doing with the vaccine rollout [ROTATE]

Your provincial government

“

Residents of Quebec (mean of 7.6) and Atlantic Canada (mean of 7.2) give higher ratings to the job their respective provincial governments are doing with vaccine rollouts, while residents of the Prairies (mean of 5.2) and Ontario (mean of 3.9; down from 4.9 in March) give the lowest ratings.

Overall Canadians continue to rate the performance of their provincial governments higher than the federal government.


”

Rating your provincial government on Covid-19 vaccine roll out

Q

On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is a very poor job and 10 is a very good job, how would you rate the job that the following are doing with the vaccine rollout [ROTATE]

Your provincial government




- Very good job (7-10)
- Neutral (4-6)
- Very poor job (0-3)
- Unsure

Mean

	Atlantic (n=89)	Quebec (n=220)	Ontario (n=345)	Prairies (n=210)	BC (n=161)
Mean	7.2	7.6	3.9	5.2	6.4
Men (n=546)		Women (n=479)	18 to 34 (n=193)	35 to 54 (n=438)	55 plus (n=394)
	5.6	5.6	5.0	5.7	5.9

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

METHODOLOGY



Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1025 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between April 29th and May 3rd, 2021 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals were randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1025 Canadians is ± 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

The research was commissioned and conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Element	Description	Element	Description
Research sponsor	Nanos Research	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure
Population and Final Sample Size	1025 Randomly selected individuals.	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior* to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data. *Confirm if applicable
Source of Sample	Nanos Panel	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.
Type of Sample	Probability	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.
Margin of Error	±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Estimated Response Rate	14 percent, consistent with industry norms.
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey	Question Order	Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Question Content	Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, views on economic issues, COVID-19, the federal budget, housing prices, mental health and the US-Canada border.
Demographics (Captured)	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Question Wording	The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.
Fieldwork/Validation	Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online	Research/Data Collection Supplier	Nanos Research
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.	Contact	Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. http://www.nanos.co Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: info@nanosresearch.com.
Time of Calls	Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.		
Field Dates	April 29 th to May 3 rd , 2021.		
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.		
Standards	Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/		



As one of North America’s premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

nanos dimap analytika



This international joint venture between [dimap](#) and [Nanos](#) brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NANOS RUTHERFORD MCKAY & Co.

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com



TABULATIONS



2021-1884 – Vaccine Report April – Survey – STAT SHEET

On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is a very poor job and 10 is a very good job, how would you rate the job that the following are doing with the vaccine rollout [ROTATE]

			Region						Gender		Age			
			Canada 2021-05	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus	
Question - The Government of Canada	Total	Unwgt N	1025	89	220	345	210	161	546	479	193	438	394	
		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	490	510	273	341	386	
		Mean	5.2	6.1	6.7	4.6	4.1	4.9	4.8	5.5	4.8	4.9	5.6	
		Median	6.0	7.0	7.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	
		Very poor job (0)	%	13.5	5.8	5.2	15.4	23.4	12.6	16.3	10.7	13.2	16.1	11.3
	1	%	3.2	2.0	0.4	4.1	4.0	4.6	4.1	2.3	3.1	2.9	3.5	
	2	%	6.2	2.6	1.6	7.9	10.3	5.5	7.6	4.8	7.7	7.9	3.6	
	3	%	7.4	7.9	4.2	8.8	5.6	11.2	9.0	5.9	7.9	6.8	7.5	
	4	%	7.1	5.4	5.0	7.5	6.0	12.0	7.2	7.0	5.9	6.6	8.4	
	5	%	9.9	10.9	8.1	11.9	9.9	6.6	8.7	10.9	11.0	10.1	8.8	
	6	%	9.8	11.3	9.9	10.8	7.5	9.0	10.4	9.2	12.8	7.3	9.9	
	7	%	15.8	16.6	19.2	14.7	13.0	16.3	14.0	17.6	18.2	16.5	13.5	
	8	%	12.0	9.5	22.5	8.4	9.1	8.9	9.6	14.3	10.9	11.8	12.9	
	9	%	6.8	19.4	10.5	3.3	5.3	6.0	6.4	7.2	3.6	5.2	10.4	
	Very good job (10)	%	6.1	4.8	11.3	5.2	2.5	5.5	5.9	6.3	2.0	6.7	8.5	
	Unsure	%	2.4	3.9	2.1	2.1	3.3	1.7	0.9	3.8	3.7	2.2	1.6	

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,025 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between April 29th and May 3rd, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.



2021-1884 – Vaccine Report April – Survey – STAT SHEET

On a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is a very poor job and 10 is a very good job, how would you rate the job that the following are doing with the vaccine rollout [ROTATE]

		Region							Gender		Age			
		Canada 2021-05	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus		
Question - Your provincial government	Total	Unwgt N	1025	89	220	345	210	161	546	479	193	438	394	
		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	490	510	273	341	386	
		Mean	5.6	7.2	7.6	3.9	5.2	6.4	5.6	5.6	5.0	5.7	5.9	
		Median	6.0	8.0	8.0	4.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	
		Very poor job (0)	%	11.1	0.9	6.1	18.3	11.2	4.1	10.8	11.3	15.0	10.5	8.9
	1	%	2.8	0.0	0.0	4.7	4.8	1.1	2.2	3.4	1.8	3.0	3.4	
	2	%	6.8	1.3	0.7	12.2	9.4	1.3	6.3	7.3	7.1	5.8	7.5	
	3	%	8.3	6.9	2.9	11.3	7.7	10.6	9.2	7.5	9.7	6.1	9.3	
	4	%	5.2	6.3	2.1	7.8	3.0	5.9	6.6	3.9	4.4	7.0	4.2	
	5	%	9.7	5.4	3.8	12.4	12.1	11.1	9.7	9.7	11.2	10.5	7.9	
	6	%	9.1	11.9	3.2	11.6	10.7	8.3	9.0	9.1	12.3	9.2	6.7	
	7	%	12.1	14.6	11.3	10.3	12.0	17.4	11.7	12.4	10.9	13.5	11.6	
	8	%	14.0	11.1	28.5	5.6	12.7	16.3	15.0	13.1	16.5	12.7	13.5	
	9	%	7.8	18.6	12.5	1.3	9.0	11.5	7.1	8.5	3.6	7.1	11.4	
	Very good job (10)	%	11.5	19.0	27.1	3.6	5.8	10.9	11.4	11.5	5.5	13.0	14.4	
	Unsure	%	1.5	3.9	1.7	1.0	1.6	1.6	0.9	2.2	2.1	1.6	1.1	

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,025 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between April 29th and May 3rd, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.