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Men are more likely than women to think that the federal government should show restraint when it comes to borrowing money given the size of the deficit and the demands of the pandemic

There is a three-way split on the approach Canadians think the federal government should take in terms of borrowing money to fight the pandemic.

- Canadians are split on their preferred approach for the government in terms of borrowing money to fight the pandemic - More than one third (36\%) of Canadians think that the federal government should borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic plus borrow more to invest in the social and economic priorities of Canadians beyond the requirements of the pandemic, while 30 per cent think the federal government should show restraint given the size of the deficit and the demands of the pandemic, and 29 per cent think the government should only borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic. Six per cent are unsure.
- Canadians from the Atlantic region and British Columbia are more likely to say they think that the federal government should borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic plus borrow more to invest in the social and economic priorities - Around four in ten residents of British Columbia (42\%) and the Atlantic region (40\%) think that the federal government should borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic plus borrow more to invest in the social and economic priorities of Canadians beyond the requirements of the pandemic, compared to 29 per cent of Quebec residents. Men (36\%) are more likely than women (24\%) to think that the federal government should show restraint given the size of the deficit and the demands of the pandemic.
These observations are based on a hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,003 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $30^{\text {th }}$ and October $4^{\text {th }}, 2020$ as part of an omnibus survey. The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

## Views on federal borrowing during pandemic

## THE GLOBE AND MAIL



■ The federal government should borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic plus borrow more to invest in the social and economic priorities of Canadians beyond the requirements of the pandemic
■ The federal government should show restraint given the size of the deficit and the demands of the pandemic

- The government should only borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic

|  | social and economic <br> priorities |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=96) | $40.2 \%$ |
| Quebec ( $\mathrm{n}=225$ ) | $29.3 \%$ |
| Ontario ( $\mathrm{n}=319$ ) | $36.4 \%$ |
| Prairies ( $\mathrm{n}=202$ ) | $35.6 \%$ |
| British Columbia ( $\mathrm{n}=161$ ) | $41.8 \%$ |
| Male ( $\mathrm{n}=544$ ) | $34.2 \%$ |
| Female ( $\mathrm{n}=459$ ) | $36.8 \%$ |
| 18 to 34 ( $\mathrm{n}=224$ ) | $42.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 54 ( $\mathrm{n}=403$ ) | $32.6 \%$ |
| 55 plus ( $\mathrm{n}=376$ ) | $33.2 \%$ |
| *Weighted to the true population proportion. |  |
| *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding. |  |

Borrow what it needs plus borrow more for social and economic priorities
*Weighted to the true population proportion.

* Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.


## Views on federal borrowing during pandemic by region

## THE GLOBE AND MAIL * (n) NANOS

| Canada | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia <br> $(n=1003)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(n=96)$ | $(n=225)$ | $(n=319)$ | $(n=202)$ | $(n=161)$ |  |


| The federal government should borrow <br> what it needs to fight the pandemic plus <br> borrow more to invest in the social and <br> economic priorities of Canadians beyond <br> the requirements of the pandemic |
| :--- |

QUESTION - Which of the following is closer to your point of view? [RANDOMIZE]

## Views on federal borrowing during pandemic by gender and age

| Canada | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | 35 to 54 | 55 plus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(n=1003)$ | $(n=544)$ | $(n=459)$ | $(n=224)$ | $(n=403)$ | $(n=376)$ |


| The federal government should borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic plus borrow more to invest in the social and economic priorities of Canadians beyond the requirements of the pandemic | 35.6\% | 34.2\% | 36.8\% | 42.6\% | 32.6\% | 33.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The federal government should show restraint given the size of the deficit and the demands of the pandemic | 29.9\% | 36.2\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% | 32.3\% | 31.7\% |
| The government should only borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic | 28.9\% | 26.7\% | 31.0\% | 27.0\% | 29.5\% | 29.7\% |
| Unsure | 5.6\% | 2.9\% | 8.2\% | 6.1\% | 5.5\% | 5.4\% |

QUESTION - Which of the following is closer to your point of view? [RANDOMIZE]

## METHODOLOGY

## THE GLOBE AND MAIL * (1) NANOS

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,003 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $30^{\text {th }}$ and October $4^{\text {th }}, 2020$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land-and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

| Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Research sponsor | The Globe and Mail |
| Population and Final Sample Size | 1003 Randomly selected individuals. |
| Source of Sample | Nanos Panel |
| Type of Sample | Probability |
| Margin of Error | $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20. |
| Mode of Survey | RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey |
| Sampling Method Base | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada. |
| Demographics (Captured) | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. <br> Six digit postal code was used to validate geography. |
| Fieldwork/Validation | Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online |
| Number of Calls | Maximum of five call backs to those recruited. |
| Time of Calls | Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent. |
| Field Dates | September $30^{\text {th }}$ and October $4^{\text {th }}, 2020$. |
| Language of Survey | The survey was conducted in both English and French. |
| Standards | Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/ |


| Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Weighting of Data | The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure |
| Screening | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data. |
| Excluded Demographics | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate. |
| Stratification | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample. |
| Estimated Response Rate | 10 percent, consistent with industry norms. |
| Question Order | Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire. |
| Question Content | Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, on economic issues, and the coronavirus pandemic. |
| Question Wording | The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals. |
| Research/Data Collection Supplier | Nanos Research |
| Contact | Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <br> http://www.nanos.co <br> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 <br> Email: info@nanosresearch.com. |

NANOS
RESEARCH

## nanos dimap analytika

(D) nanos dimap

As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com

## TABULATIONS

THE GLOBE AND MAIL * (1) NANOS


2020-1723 - Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey - September Omni - STAT SHEET - Federal Pandemic Debt

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Please select your gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Canada } \\ & 2020-10 \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 55 plus |
| Question Which of the following is closer to your point of view? [RANDOMIZE] | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1003 | 96 | 225 | 319 | 202 | 161 | 544 | 459 | 224 | 403 | 376 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
|  | The federal government should borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic plus borrow more to invest in the social and economic priorities of Canadians beyond the requirements of the pandemic | \% | 35.6 | 40.2 | 29.3 | 36.4 | 35.6 | 41.8 | 34.2 | 36.8 | 42.6 | 32.6 | 33.2 |
|  | The federal government should show restraint given the size of the deficit and the demands of the pandemic | \% | 29.9 | 29.5 | 32.6 | 25.0 | 39.0 | 27.0 | 36.2 | 23.9 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 31.7 |
|  | The government should only borrow what it needs to fight the pandemic | \% | 28.9 | 25.8 | 30.8 | 33.4 | 20.4 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 31.0 | 27.0 | 29.5 | 29.7 |
|  | Not sure | \% | 5.6 | 4.5 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.4 |

