# Canadians are divided on the importance of Canada having a seat on the UN Security Council

National survey released July, 2020 Project 2020-1676C







#### **SUMMARY**

THE GLOBE AND MAIL \* D NANOS

Quebec residents are most likely to say Canada having a seat on the UN Security Council is important, while Prairies residents are most likely to say this is unimportant Canadians are split on how important it is for Canada to have a seat on the UN Security Council, with residents of the Prairies and men being the most likely to say this is unimportant and Quebec residents most likely to say Canada having a seat is important.

- Canadians are divided on the importance of Canada having a seat on the UN Security Council Just under one in two Canadians say it is important (17%) or somewhat important (31%) for Canada to have a seat on the UN Security Council, while more than four in ten say this is unimportant (29%) or somewhat unimportant (16%). Seven per cent are unsure.
- Quebec residents are more likely to say that Canada having a seat on the UN Security Council is important; Prairies residents are more likely to say this is unimportant – Residents of Quebec are more likely to say this is important (25%) than residents of the Prairies (15%), Ontario (15%) and British Columbia (12%). Residents of the Prairies are more likely to say this is unimportant (47%) than residents of British Columbia (36%), Ontario (30%), Atlantic Canada (16%) and Quebec (13%). Men are nearly twice as likely to say Canada having a seat on the UN Security Council is unimportant (41%) than women (18%).

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,049 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between June  $28^{th}$  and July  $2^{nd}$ , 2020 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for this survey is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

### Importance of a seat on the United Nations Security Council

THE GLOBE AND MAIL	$\mathcal{D}$	NANOS
--------------------	---------------	-------

			Important/ Somewhat important
7%	170/	Atlantic (n=100)	49.6%
		Quebec (n=252)	60.6%
		Ontario (n=339)	47.4%
29% Net sco	ore	Prairies (n=203)	36.6%
+3.0 31%		British Columbia (n=155)	43.3%
		Male (n=555)	39.4%
	5170	Female (n=494)	56.4%
16%		Som imp         Atlantic (n=100)       4         Quebec (n=252)       6         Ontario (n=339)       4         Prairies (n=203)       3         British Columbia (n=155)       4         Male (n=555)       3         Female (n=494)       5         18 to 34 (n=270)       5         35 to 54 (n=393)       4	52.0%
	Net score   +3.0   31%   16%   Esmewhat important	35 to 54 (n=393)	49.2%
Important	Somewhat important	55 plus (n=386)	44.4%
Somewhat unimportant Unsure	-		

**QUESTION** – Canada campaigned internationally for five years to win a seat on the United Nations Security Council, but was unsuccessful. How important or unimportant is it that Canada have a seat on the UN Security Council

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, June 28<sup>th</sup> to July2nd, 2020, n=1049 accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

#### **METHODOLOGY**





#### **METHODOLOGY**

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,049 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between June 28<sup>th</sup> and July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This is one (1) report of four (4).

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

### **TECHNICAL NOTE**

## THE GLOBE AND MAIL \* NANOS

Element	Description	Element	Description				
Research sponsor	The Globe and Mail	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically				
Population and Final Sample Size	1,049 Randomly selected individuals.	weighting of Data	stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure				
Source of Sample	Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel (confirm with John)	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media				
Type of Sample	Probability	Succinity	or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.				
Margin of Error	$\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not				
Mode of Survey	RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey	Demographics	participate.				
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Stratification	By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.				
Demographics (Captured)	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Estimated Response Rate	11 percent, consistent with industry norms.				
Fieldwork/Validation	Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online	Question Order	This was the only question asked on this topic.				
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.		Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views				
Time of Calls	Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.	Question Content	on political issues, views on economic issues, real estate, China and COVID-19.				
Field Dates	June 28 <sup>th</sup> to July 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 2020.	Question Wording	The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.				
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.	Research/Data Collection Supplier	Nanos Research				
Standards	Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/	Contact	Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <u>http://www.nanos.co</u> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: info@nanosresearch.com.				

#### **ABOUT NANOS**



As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

#### nanos dimap analytika

🔊 NANOS dimap

This international joint venture between <u>dimap</u> and <u>Nanos</u> brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. <u>www.nanosdimap.com</u>

#### NANOS RUTHERFORD McKAY & Co.

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. <a href="http://www.nrmpublicaffairs.com">www.nrmpublicaffairs.com</a>

#### **TABULATIONS**





## **NANOS** THE GLOBE AND MAIL\*

#### 2020-1676 - Globe and Mail/Nanos Survey - June OMNI - UN Security Council - STAT SHEET

		-	Region						Ge	nder	Age		
			Canada 2020-07	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia	Male	Female	18 to 34	35 to 54	55 plus
Question - Canada campaigned	Total	Unwgt N	1049	100	252	339	203	155	555	494	270	393	386
internationally for five years to win a		Wgt N	1000	67	233	384	183	133	490	510	273	341	386
seat on the United Nations Security	Important	%	17.2	20.6	24.7	14.7	15.1	12.4	13.2	21.1	18.2	18.0	15.8
Council but was	Somewhat important	%	30.9	29.0	35.9	32.7	21.5	30.9	26.2	35.3	33.8	31.2	28.6
unsuccessful. How important or unimportant is it that	Somewhat unimportant	%	15.9	21.8	20.1	14.6	11.5	15.4	16.9	15.0	17.0	13.4	17.4
Canada have a seat	Unimportant	%	29.2	16.0	13.3	30.3	47.4	35.6	40.9	18.0	25.4	29.9	31.3
on the UN Security Council?	Unsure	%	6.8	12.5	6.0	7.7	4.5	5.7	2.8	10.6	5.6	7.5	6.9

© NANOS RESEARCH

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,049 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between June 28<sup>th</sup> and July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020. The margin of error for this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.