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## SUMMARY

Nearly one in five Canadians say technological changes are likely or somewhat likely to threaten their job, while nearly four in five say they are unlikely or somewhat unlikely to affect work tasks

Most Canadians agree or somewhat agree that they would be interested in taking more training if the government created a personal training account, and intensity of agreement registers a slight increase if the government or both the government and employers top up the account contributions.
Canadians most frequently agree or somewhat agree that they should take training to improve their computer and occupational skills. Nearly three in four Canadians say that support for training would have at least a somewhat positive influence on their choice of employer.

## Impact of technological change

- Four in five Canadians think it is unlikely or somewhat unlikely that technological change will threaten their job - Asked to what extent technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy could impact them personally, four fifths of Canadians say it is unlikely (59\%) or somewhat unlikely (21\%) that these changes threaten their job, while fewer than one in five say this is likely (six per cent) or somewhat likely (12\%). Two per cent are unsure.
- Just over three in five Canadians think it is unlikely or somewhat unlikely that technological change will affect their work tasks - Just over three fifths of Canadians think technological changes to the job market are unlikely ( $42 \%$ ) or somewhat unlikely ( $20 \%$ ) to affect their work tasks and require training, while over a third say this is likely (12\%) or somewhat likely (23\%). Three per cent are unsure.


## Training needs

- Canadians most frequently say they would be interested in training - Just over a third of Canadians say they are interested in training (34\%), followed by saying that they have all the training they need (26\%), 14 per cent say they would be interested in taking training, but they cannot afford it, 11 per cent say they do not want training, and nine per cent say they would be interested in training, but do not have the time for it. Seven percent say they do not know.
- Over three quarters of Canadians disagree that they should take training to improve their reading ability - Nearly nine in ten Canadians disagree (77\%) or somewhat disagree (11\%) that they should take training to improve their reading ability, while seven per cent somewhat agree and four per cent agree. Two per cent are unsure.
- A majority of Canadians disagree or somewhat disagree that they should take training to improve their math skills - Just over seven in ten Canadians disagree (56\%) or somewhat disagree (15\%) that they should take training to improve their math skills, while nine per cent agree and 18 per cent somewhat agree with this. Three per cent are unsure.
- Canadians are split on whether they should take training to improve their computer skills - Just under one in two Canadians say they disagree ( $35 \%$ ) or somewhat disagree (14\%) that they should take training to improve their computer skills, while 18 per cent agree and 31 per cent somewhat agree with this. Two per cent are unsure.
- Canadians are split on whether they should take training to improve their occupational skills Half of Canadians disagree (38\%) or somewhat disagree (12\%) that they should take training to improve their occupational skills, while 19 per cent agree and 27 per cent somewhat agree with this. Four per cent are unsure.
- Two thirds of Canadians disagree or somewhat disagree that they need a skills assessment Two in three Canadians disagree ( $50 \%$ ) or somewhat disagree (16\%) that they need a skills assessment to better identify their training needs, while 11 per cent agree and 18 per cent somewhat agree with this. Five per cent are unsure.


## Usefulness of personal training accounts

- More than half of Canadians agree or somewhat agree that they would be interested in training if they had a personal training account - Over one in two Canadians agree or somewhat agree ( $27 \%$, respectively) that they would be more interested in taking training if the government created a personal training account in their name to help them save money for training like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), while 10 per cent somewhat disagree and 29 per cent disagree. Nine per cent are unsure.


## SUMMARY

- Canadians are split on whether they would make financial contributions to their personal training account - Just under one in two Canadians disagree (35\%) or somewhat disagree (13\%) that they would make financial contributions to their personal training account, while 23 per cent somewhat agree and 17 per cent agree. Eleven per cent are unsure.
- More than half of Canadians agree or somewhat agree that they would be more interested in taking training if the government topped up their contribution - Over one in two Canadians agree ( $28 \%$ ) or somewhat agree ( $25 \%$ ) that they would be more interested in taking training if the government topped up their contribution by depositing funds into their personal training account, while seven per cent somewhat disagree and 28 per cent disagree. Ten per cent are unsure.
- More than half of Canadians agree or somewhat agree that they would be more interested in taking training if their employer and the government top up their contribution - Over one in two Canadians agree (31\%) or somewhat agree (24\%) that they would be more interested in taking training if their employer topped up their contribution and that of the government by depositing funds into their personal training account, while seven per cent somewhat disagree and 29 per cent disagree. Ten per cent are unsure.
- Canadians most frequently think that personal training accounts should fund direct expenses Asked which items a personal training account funded by themselves, the government and their employer should pay for to help them take training, 63 per cent of Canadians said direct training, followed by 56 per cent who say income replacement during training, 50 per cent say fees for training related to labour market needs, 49 per cent say fees for training identified by their employer, 46 per cent say fees for any post-secondary training of their choosing, and 40 per cent say it should pay for a personalized skills assessment.
- A majority of Canadians say an employer's support for training and skills acquisition would at least somewhat positively influence their decision when choosing a new employer - Asked to what extent an employer's support for training and skills acquisition would positively influence their decision when choosing a new employer, 41 per cent of Canadians say it would very much positively influence their decision, followed by 33 per cent who say somewhat positively, and 12 per cent who say it would not positively influence their decision. Fourteen per cent are unsure.


## SUMMARY

## Demographic differences by age

- Impact of technological change - Younger Canadians more frequently say technological change is likely (17\%) or somewhat likely (27\%) to impact their work tasks compared to older Canadians (six per cent likely, $16 \%$ somewhat likely of those 55 and older).
- Training needs - Interest in training is stronger among younger Canadians ( $40 \%$ of 18 to 34 -yearolds compared to $23 \%$ of those 55 and older). Younger Canadians are significantly less likely to outright disagree that they should take more training for literacy ( $71 \%$ among 18 to 34 age group compared to $84 \%$ among 55 plus age group), math skills ( $44 \%$ among 18 to 34 age group compared to $71 \%$ among 55 plus age group), occupational skills ( $23 \%$ among 18 to 34 age group compared to $63 \%$ among 55 plus age group) or that they need a skills assessment ( $37 \%$ among 18 to 34 age group compared to $69 \%$ among 55 plus age group).
- Personal training accounts - Four times as many younger Canadians (42\% of those 18 to 34 years old) would be interested in taking training as older Canadians ( $10 \%$ of those 55 plus) if they had a personal training account created by the government. Interest in taking training increased among Canadians 18 to 34 with government topped up contributions (47\%) and both employer and government topped up contributions (52\%).


## Demographic differences by education

- Training needs - Canadians with only a high school diploma or less report higher intensity of agreement with interest in math training ( $16 \%$ agree, $23 \%$ somewhat agree compared to $9 \%$ agree and $18 \%$ somewhat agree for all Canadians).
- Personal training accounts - Those who completed graduate studies are more likely to agree that they would be interested in taking training if their personal accounts were topped up by the government ( $38 \%$ agree compared to $30 \%$ among all Canadians).


## SUMMARY

## Demographic differences by employment

- Training needs - Unemployed Canadians are most likely to say that they are interested in taking training, but they cannot afford it ( $46 \%$ compared to $16 \%$ of those employed part-time and $13 \%$ of those employed full-time).

These observations are based on an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$ as part of an omnibus survey. The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by the Senate of Canada and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

## At a glance - Technical changes, skills and training

| Need for specific training | Agree/ <br> Somewhat <br> agree |
| :--- | :---: |
| I should take training to improve my <br> computer skills (e.g. using Internet <br> tools). | $48.3 \%$ |
| I should take training to improve my <br> occupational skills. | $46.3 \%$ |
| I need a skills assessment to better <br> identify my training needs. | $28.9 \%$ |
| I should take training to improve my <br> math skills. | $26.4 \%$ |
| I should take training to improve my <br> reading ability. | $10.5 \%$ |

## At a glance - Personal training account

| Interest in personal training account |  |  | Agree/ ewhat agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I would be more interested in taking training if the government topped up my contribution by depositing funds into my personal training account. |  |  | 55.0\% |
| I would be even more interested in taking training if my employer topped up my contribution and that of the government by depositing funds into my personal training account. |  |  | 54.7\% |
| I would be more interested in taking training |  |  | 53.1\% |
| I would make financial contributions to my personal training account |  |  | 40.6\% |
| Influence of employer's support for training on choosing a new employer | Frequency$(n=1,010)$ | Top items that should be paid by personal training account | Frequency (n=911) |
|  |  | Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | 63.3\% |
| It would very much positively influence my decision | 41.0\% | Income replacement during training | 56.1\% |
|  |  | Fees for training related to labour market needs | 50.3\% |
| It would somewhat positively influence my decision | 32.7\% | Fees for training identified by my employer | 48.7\% |
| It would not positively influence my decision at all | 12.4\% | Fees for any post-secondary training of my choosing | 46.0\% |
|  |  | A personalized skills assessment | 40.2\% |
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Canadians are twice as likely to say that technological changes to the job market will affect their work tasks and require training than to say these changes threaten their job

## Changes threatening jobs
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|  | Likely/ <br> somewhat <br> likely | Unlikely/ <br> somewhat <br> unlikely |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Canada (n=589) | $17.7 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ |
| Atlantic (n=54) | $10.1 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=163) | $19.7 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=186) | $19.3 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=105) | $17.9 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=81) | $13.6 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |
| Male (n=328) | $18.1 \%$ | $80.8 \%$ |
| Female (n=261) | $17.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| 18 to 34 (n=224) | $21.1 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 54 (n=264) | $15.2 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ |
| 55 plus (n=101) | $17.2 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ |
| *Weighted to the true population proportion. |  |  |
| *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding. |  |  |

QUESTION - [IF EMPLOYED] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

These changes threaten my job

## Changes affecting work tasks
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|  | Likely/ <br> somewhat <br> likely | Unlikely/ <br> somewhat <br> unlikely |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Canada (n=589) | $34.8 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| Atlantic (n=54) | $25.4 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=163) | $38.2 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=186) | $37.0 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=105) | $37.8 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=81) | $23.3 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| Male (n=328) | $36.5 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ |
| Female (n=261) | $32.7 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| 18 to 34 (n=224) | $43.4 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 54 (n=264) | $33.3 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ |
| 55 plus (n=101) | $22.1 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
QUESTION - [IF EMPLOYED] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

These changes will affect my work tasks, and I will need training

## TRAINING NEEDS

6
Canadians most frequently say they are interested in training to improve computer and occupational skills

## Needs for specific training
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■ I have all the training I need
■ I would be interested in taking training
■ I would be interested in taking training, but I do not have time for it
■ I would be interested in taking training, but I cannot afford it
■ I do not want training

- Idon't know
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { All who would } \\
\text { be interested } \\
\text { in taking } \\
\text { training }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { I have all the } \\
\text { training I } \\
\text { need/I do not } \\
\text { want training }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline Atlantic (n=100) \& 58.1 \% \& 28.1 \% <br>

Quebec (n=251) \& 49.2 \% \& 45.4 \%\end{array}\right]\)| Ontario (n=309) |
| :--- |
| Prairies (n=197) |
| British Columbia (n=153) |
| Male (n=531) |
| Female (n=479) |
| 18 to 34 (n=283) |
| 35 to 54 (n=340) |
| 55 plus (n=387) |
| *Weighted to the true population proportion. |

QUESTION - Which statement best describes your situation?

## Training to improve skills
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## Training to improve reading ability
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$\square$ Agree $\square$ Somewhat agree $\square$ Somewhat disagree $\square$ Disagree $\square$ Unsure

|  | Agree／ <br> somewhat <br> agree | Disagree／ <br> Somewhat <br> disagree |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Canada（n＝1010） | $10.5 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ |
| Atlantic（n＝100） | $16.4 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ |
| Quebec（n＝251） | $8.8 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ |
| Ontario（n＝309） | $9.3 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |
| Prairies（n＝197） | $9.5 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| British Columbia（n＝153） | $14.6 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ |
| Male（n＝531） | $11.2 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| Female（n＝479） | $9.7 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| 18 to 34 （n＝283） | $15.1 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| 35 to 54 （n＝340） | $9.8 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| 55 plus（n＝387） | $6.4 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ |

＊Weighted to the true population proportion．
＊Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding．

QUESTION－To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements？［RANDOMIZE］
I should take training to improve my reading ability

## Training to improve math skills
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|  | Agree/ <br> somewhat <br> agree | Disagree/ <br> Somewhat <br> disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QUESTION - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]
I should take training to improve my math skills

## Training to improve computer skills
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QUESTION - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]
I should take training to improve my computer skills (e.g. using Internet tools)

## Training to improve occupational skills
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|  |  | Agree/ somewhat agree | Disagree/ Somewhat disagree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4\% | Canada ( $\mathrm{n}=1010$ ) | 46.3\% | 50.2\% |
| 9\% | Atlantic ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) | 40.8\% | 54.4\% |
|  | Quebec ( $\mathrm{n}=251$ ) | 48.8\% | 47.8\% |
|  | Ontario ( $\mathrm{n}=309$ ) | 45.9\% | 51.4\% |
|  | Prairies ( $\mathrm{n}=197$ ) | 49.6\% | 45.3\% |
| 3.9 | British Columbia ( $\mathrm{n}=153$ ) | 41.5\% | 55.5\% |
| $7 \%$ | Male ( $\mathrm{n}=531$ ) | 48.4\% | 48.1\% |
|  | Female ( $\mathrm{n}=479$ ) | 44.0\% | 52.4\% |
| $12 \%$ | 18 to 34 ( $\mathrm{n}=283$ ) | 66.1\% | 32.9\% |
|  | 35 to 54 ( $\mathrm{n}=340$ ) | 49.9\% | 44.6\% |
|  | 55 plus ( $\mathrm{n}=387$ ) | 21.9\% | 74.2\% |
| $\square$ Agree $\square$ Somewhat agree $\square$ Somewhat disagree $\square$ Disagree $\square$ Unsure | *Weighted to the true population <br> *Charts may not add up to 100 | roportion. to rounding. |  |

QUESTION - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]
I should take training to improve my occupational skills

## Skills assessment to identify training needs

|  | Agree／ <br> somewhat <br> agree | Disagree／ <br> Somewhat <br> disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QUESTION－To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements？［RANDOMIZE］
I need a skills assessment to better identify my training needs

## USEFULNESS OF PERSONAL TRAINING ACCOUNTS
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Nearly three in four Canadians say that employer support for training and skills acquisition would very much or somewhat positively influence their decision when choosing new employer

## Personal training account
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| I would be more interested in taking <br> training if the government topped up <br> my contribution by depositing funds <br> into my personal training account. |
| :--- |
| I would be even more interested in <br> taking training if my employer topped <br> up my contribution and that of the <br> government by depositing funds into <br> my personal training account |

QUESTION - Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements?

## Interest in taking training
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QUESTION - Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements?
I would be more interested in taking training

# Financial contributions to personal training account 
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QUESTION - Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I would make financial contributions to my personal training account

# Interest in the government topping up contributions 
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QUESTION - Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I would be more interested in taking training if the government topped up my contribution by depositing funds into my personal training account

# Interest in the government topping up contributions and that of the government 
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QUESTION - Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements?

I would be even more interested in taking training if my employer topped up my contribution and that of the government by depositing funds into my personal training account

## Pay to help for training

Frequency
( $\mathrm{n}=911$ )*

| Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | $63.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Income replacement during training | $56.1 \%$ |
| Fees for training related to labour market needs | $50.3 \%$ |
| Fees for training identified by my employer | $48.7 \%$ |
| Fees for any post-secondary training of my choosing | $46.0 \%$ |
| A personalized skills assessment | $40.2 \%$ |
| Transportation (public transit and car expenses) | $8.0 \%$ |
| Child care | $5.0 \%$ |
| None/does not apply | $2.4 \%$ |
| Other | $17.1 \%$ |
| *Based on multiple mentions. |  |

QUESTION - Which of the following items should a personal training account funded by you, the government and your employer pay for to help you take training? (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE]

## Influence on choosing new employer
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It would very
much/somewhat
positively
influence my
decision

It would not positively influence my decision at all

| Canada (n=1010) | $73.7 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $78.9 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Quebec $(n=251)$ | $74.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=309) | $74.8 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=197) | $71.7 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=153) | $69.1 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Male (n=531) | $74.2 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Female (n=479) | $73.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 18 to $34(n=283)$ | $84.0 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| 35 to $54(n=340)$ | $75.3 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| 55 plus (n=387) | $61.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

QUESTION - If you had to choose a new employer, to what extent would the employer's support for your training and skills acquisition positively influence your decision?


## METHODOLOGY

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age, gender and seasonally adjusted labour force statistics using the latest Census information and data from Statistics Canada. The sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals were randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

The research was commissioned by Senator Bellemare and was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

# L’hon. Diane Bellemare, sénatrice - Senator, Québec 

| Element | Description | Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research sponsor | Senator Bellemare | Weighting of Data | The results were weighted by age, gender and seasonally adjusted labour force statistics using the latest Census information (2016) and data from Statistics Canada. The sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure |
| Population and Final Sample Size | 1010 Randomly selected individuals. |  |  |
| Source of Sample | Nanos Panel | Screening | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data. |
| Type of Sample | Probability |  |  |
| Margin of Error | $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20. | Excluded <br> Demographics | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate. |
| Mode of Survey | RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey |  |  |
| Sampling Method Base | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada. | Stratification | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample. |
| Demographics (Captured) | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography. | Estimated Response Rate | Ten percent, consistent with industry norms. |
| Fieldwork/Validation | Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online | Question Order | Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire. |
| Number of Calls | Maximum of five call backs. |  | This was module six of an omnibus survey. Previous content included pipelines, provincial separatism, the standard of living, recessions, homelessness and oceans. |
| Time of Calls | Individuals were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30$9: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ local time for the respondent. | Question Content |  |
| Field Dates | November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ to December 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$, 2019. | Question Wording | The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals. |
| Language of Survey | The survey was conducted in both English and French. | Research/Data Collection Supplier | Nanos Research |
| Standards | Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/ | Contact | Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <br> http://www.nanos.co <br> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 <br> Email: info@nanosresearch.com. |

As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

## nanos dimap analytika

(D) nanos dimap

NANOS RUTHERFORD McKAY \& Co.

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com

## TABULATIONS
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|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $18 \text { to }$ $34$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - Which of the following categories best describes your current employment status? Are you... | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Working full-time, that is, 30 or more hours per week | \% | 48.6 | 44.5 | 50.1 | 49.8 | 48.8 | 44.4 | 55.4 | 40.9 | 61.2 | 62.5 | 19.9 |
|  | Working part-time, that is, less than 30 hours per week | \% | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 7.1 | 15.9 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 10.7 |
|  | Self-employed | \% | 9.2 | 12.6 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 12.6 |
|  | Unemployed, but looking for work | \% | 3.4 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 0.7 |
|  | A student attending school full-time | \% | 3.5 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Retired | \% | 18.3 | 22.5 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 54.3 |
|  | Not in the workforce | \% | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Other | \% | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
|  | Prefer not to answer | \% | 1.8 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.4 |

[IF EMPLOYED ONLY] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - These changes threaten my job | Total | Unwgt N | 589 | 54 | 163 | 186 | 105 | 81 | 328 | 261 | 224 | 264 | 101 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 691 | 49 | 161 | 264 | 126 | 91 | 384 | 307 | 254 | 301 | 136 |
|  | Likely | \% | 6.1 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 7.2 |
|  | Somewhat likely | \% | 11.7 | 6.7 | 14.0 | 10.9 | 14.5 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 14.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
|  | Somewhat unlikely | \% | 21.1 | 23.7 | 25.5 | 19.1 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 17.9 | 25.1 | 22.4 | 22.0 | 16.9 |
|  | Unlikely | \% | 59.3 | 64.2 | 52.4 | 60.1 | 60.1 | 65.5 | 62.9 | 54.9 | 54.4 | 61.1 | 64.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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[IF EMPLOYED ONLY] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - These changes will affect | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 589 | 54 | 163 | 186 | 105 | 81 | 328 | 261 | 224 | 264 | 101 |
| my work tasks, and I will need training |  | Wgt N | 691 | 49 | 161 | 264 | 126 | 91 | 384 | 307 | 254 | 301 | 136 |
|  | Likely | \% | 12.1 | 8.4 | 17.5 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 13.8 | 9.9 | 16.5 | 11.0 | 6.2 |
|  | Somewhat likely | \% | 22.7 | 17.0 | 20.7 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 16.7 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 27.0 | 22.3 | 15.9 |
|  | Somewhat unlikely | \% | 19.8 | 17.8 | 21.2 | 18.1 | 17.3 | 27.1 | 18.2 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 19.5 | 17.2 |
|  | Unlikely | \% | 42.3 | 54.8 | 37.0 | 41.6 | 40.2 | 49.6 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 33.0 | 43.5 | 56.8 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.1 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 |


|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - Which statement best | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
| describes your |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
| [RANDOMIZE] | I have all the training I need | \% | 25.6 | 18.5 | 35.8 | 22.1 | 20.1 | 29.3 | 29.4 | 21.3 | 19.2 | 26.8 | 30.7 |
|  | I would be interested in taking training | \% | 33.6 | 31.8 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 40.1 | 29.5 | 35.7 | 31.2 | 39.9 | 37.2 | 22.9 |
|  | I would be interested in taking training, but I do not have time for it | \% | 9.1 | 13.2 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 13.1 | 9.1 | 5.0 |
|  | I would be interested in taking training, but I cannot afford it | \% | 13.7 | 13.1 | 8.6 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 18.1 | 21.4 | 14.6 | 4.7 |
|  | I do not want training | \% | 10.9 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 9.7 | 12.3 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 23.7 |
|  | I don't know | \% | 7.2 | 13.8 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 13.0 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

2019-1538 - The Senate of Canada - Nanos December - STAT SHEET

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I should take training to | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
| improve my reading |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 3.9 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 6.6 | 9.6 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 10.5 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 7.7 | 13.7 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 7.5 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 76.6 | 67.4 | 76.5 | 80.8 | 74.2 | 73.3 | 76.5 | 76.7 | 70.5 | 76.1 | 83.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.4 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 |

T To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]


To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I should take training to | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
| improve my |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
| using Internet tools) | Agree | \% | 17.5 | 22.9 | 12.0 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 13.9 | 21.6 | 19.5 | 17.2 | 15.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 30.8 | 28.6 | 31.3 | 27.5 | 33.5 | 37.2 | 31.1 | 30.5 | 25.6 | 32.3 | 34.5 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 14.3 | 15.2 | 19.2 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 11.1 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 17.8 | 10.2 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 35.0 | 31.1 | 36.0 | 37.5 | 32.7 | 31.3 | 39.8 | 29.5 | 38.6 | 28.9 | 38.1 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 1.4 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I should take training to | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
| improve my |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 19.3 | 17.9 | 16.5 | 20.2 | 23.5 | 16.5 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 36.2 | 15.0 | 6.8 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 27.0 | 22.9 | 32.2 | 25.7 | 26.2 | 25.0 | 27.8 | 26.1 | 29.9 | 34.9 | 15.1 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 12.1 | 5.7 | 15.4 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 18.1 | 11.0 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 14.2 | 11.6 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 38.1 | 48.7 | 32.4 | 40.1 | 37.3 | 37.4 | 37.1 | 39.1 | 22.6 | 30.4 | 62.6 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 3.8 |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I need a skills assessment to | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
| better identify my |  |  | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 10.6 | 12.2 | 8.8 | 10.9 | 14.1 | 7.1 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 19.1 | 9.6 | 3.0 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 18.3 | 15.1 | 20.9 | 17.1 | 20.6 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 20.3 | 22.5 | 21.7 | 10.2 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 16.0 | 9.5 | 21.7 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 20.8 | 15.5 | 16.6 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 11.4 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 49.7 | 57.6 | 45.9 | 52.2 | 45.1 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 48.2 | 36.6 | 44.5 | 69.1 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 5.4 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I would be more interested in taking training | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 26.5 | 32.6 | 25.1 | 24.0 | 32.6 | 24.6 | 23.7 | 29.6 | 41.9 | 27.3 | 9.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 26.6 | 22.7 | 28.7 | 28.6 | 23.7 | 22.9 | 25.0 | 28.3 | 27.5 | 30.8 | 20.9 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 9.8 | 6.8 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 12.6 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 8.9 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.6 | 24.4 | 26.0 | 30.6 | 24.8 | 35.1 | 33.2 | 23.4 | 16.0 | 22.4 | 48.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 8.6 | 13.5 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 11.9 |

Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I would make financial contributions to my personal training account | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 17.3 | 22.1 | 17.9 | 15.7 | 19.4 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 19.4 | 25.7 | 17.0 | 8.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 23.3 | 15.4 | 26.6 | 22.5 | 21.7 | 26.2 | 23.3 | 23.2 | 26.1 | 26.9 | 16.2 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 13.0 | 18.9 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 10.0 | 15.1 | 10.7 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 7.6 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 35.2 | 29.2 | 29.1 | 38.5 | 36.1 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 31.2 | 23.0 | 28.0 | 55.6 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 11.3 | 14.4 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 7.6 | 15.5 | 9.5 | 12.7 | 11.7 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I would be more interested in taking training if the government topped up my contribution by depositing funds into my personal training account | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 29.8 | 41.6 | 32.2 | 26.1 | 35.1 | 22.8 | 27.0 | 33.0 | 46.5 | 28.7 | 14.1 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 25.2 | 14.9 | 27.2 | 26.3 | 19.8 | 31.6 | 26.2 | 24.0 | 25.8 | 29.3 | 19.8 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 7.3 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 10.4 | 5.0 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.0 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 30.2 | 28.7 | 30.7 | 31.6 | 23.9 | 13.4 | 21.6 | 50.2 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.7 | 15.4 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 10.9 |

Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I would be even more interested in taking training if my employer topped up my contribution and that of the government by depositing funds into my personal training account | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 100 | 251 | 309 | 197 | 153 | 531 | 479 | 283 | 340 | 387 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 74 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 531 | 475 | 326 | 361 | 319 |
|  | Agree | \% | 30.9 | 38.5 | 32.9 | 28.8 | 34.9 | 23.9 | 27.7 | 34.6 | 51.8 | 30.7 | 9.8 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 23.8 | 12.5 | 24.2 | 26.0 | 20.4 | 27.7 | 25.5 | 21.9 | 25.6 | 27.9 | 17.3 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 6.8 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 9.2 | 6.2 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.5 | 26.2 | 25.3 | 30.7 | 28.3 | 29.6 | 31.6 | 25.1 | 11.8 | 21.6 | 53.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.9 | 14.5 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 12.6 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 13.2 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| QuestionWhich of the following items should a personal training account funded by you, the government and your employer pay for to help you take training? (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE] | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 2830 | 305 | 681 | 879 | 541 | 424 | 1457 | 1373 | 945 | 1038 | 847 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 2957 | 229 | 655 | 1115 | 563 | 396 | 1497 | 1460 | 1115 | 1134 | 709 |
|  | Fees for any postsecondary training of my choosing | \% | 14.3 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 15.8 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 15.2 | 14.4 | 12.7 |
|  | Fees for training related to labour market needs | \% | 15.7 | 15.8 | 17.8 | 15.9 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 16.4 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 15.0 |
|  | Fees for training identified by my employer | \% | 15.2 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 14.0 | 16.6 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 13.1 |
|  | A personalized skills assessment | \% | 12.5 | 14.6 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 14.0 |
|  | Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | \% | 19.7 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 21.0 | 20.4 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 20.4 |
|  | Income replacement during training | \% | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 16.6 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 16.7 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 18.8 |
|  | None/does not apply | \% | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
|  | Child care | \% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
|  | Transportation (public transit and car expenses) | \% | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
|  | Other | \% | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 |



Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - Which of the following categories best describes your current employment status? Are you... | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Working full-time, that is, 30 or more hours per week | \% | 48.6 | 39.3 | 30.0 | 52.5 | 54.7 | 52.5 | 50.1 |
|  | Working part-time, that is, less than 30 hours per week | \% | 11.2 | 11.5 | 18.0 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 12.3 |
|  | Self-employed | \% | 9.2 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 15.0 | 2.6 |
|  | Unemployed, but looking for work | \% | 3.4 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
|  | A student attending school full-time | \% | 3.5 | 2.5 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 4.4 |
|  | Retired | \% | 18.3 | 23.5 | 24.7 | 16.7 | 15.6 | 16.3 | 19.3 |
|  | Not in the workforce | \% | 1.7 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
|  | Other | \% | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.1 |
|  | Prefer not to answer | \% | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 3.9 |
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[IF EMPLOYED ONLY] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - These changes threaten my job | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 589 | 52 | 63 | 110 | 227 | 85 | 52 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 691 | 67 | 73 | 123 | 265 | 105 | 58 |
|  | Likely | \% | 6.1 | 13.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 8.2 |
|  | Somewhat likely | \% | 11.7 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 5.0 | 14.6 | 8.2 | 12.0 |
|  | Somewhat unlikely | \% | 21.1 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 16.5 | 23.2 | 27.0 | 30.3 |
|  | Unlikely | \% | 59.3 | 58.7 | 65.1 | 69.8 | 54.9 | 59.9 | 49.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

[IF EMPLOYED ONLY] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - These changes will affect my work tasks, and I will need training | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 589 | 52 | 63 | 110 | 227 | 85 | 52 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 691 | 67 | 73 | 123 | 265 | 105 | 58 |
|  | Likely | \% | 12.1 | 15.8 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 9.0 | 17.3 | 10.7 |
|  | Somewhat likely | \% | 22.7 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 15.0 | 29.5 | 17.8 | 22.2 |
|  | Somewhat unlikely | \% | 19.8 | 11.3 | 20.7 | 24.8 | 18.1 | 19.8 | 25.8 |
|  | Unlikely | \% | 42.3 | 43.8 | 44.3 | 45.2 | 41.0 | 41.6 | 38.9 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.1 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.4 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - Which statement best describes your situation? [RANDOMIZE] | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | I have all the training I need | \% | 25.6 | 17.3 | 23.1 | 24.3 | 27.8 | 26.7 | 32.0 |
|  | I would be interested in taking training | \% | 33.6 | 26.9 | 27.7 | 27.0 | 35.7 | 47.1 | 33.8 |
|  | I would be interested in taking training, but I do not have time for it | \% | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 10.4 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
|  | I would be interested in taking training, but I cannot afford it | \% | 13.7 | 18.5 | 22.4 | 18.7 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 3.5 |
|  | I do not want training | \% | 10.9 | 19.4 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 10.2 |
|  | I don't know | \% | 7.2 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 13.3 |
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I should take training to improve my reading ability | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 3.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 6.6 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 3.3 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 10.5 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 4.9 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 76.6 | 65.0 | 69.1 | 75.1 | 80.4 | 80.4 | 84.8 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I should take training to improve my math skills | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 8.7 | 15.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 17.7 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 22.9 | 13.7 | 14.3 | 11.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 14.6 | 22.8 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 10.1 | 13.2 | 18.2 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 55.9 | 35.6 | 47.5 | 52.3 | 63.8 | 64.1 | 58.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.0 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High school } \\ & \text { or less } \end{aligned}$ | Some college or university | $\begin{gathered} \text { Completed } \\ \text { college } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I should take training to improve my computer skills (e.g. using Internet tools) | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 17.5 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 17.5 | 14.3 | 19.9 | 18.4 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 30.8 | 36.6 | 32.9 | 30.6 | 29.9 | 34.0 | 19.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 14.3 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 16.4 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 15.4 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 35.0 | 27.3 | 27.8 | 34.1 | 40.1 | 30.8 | 43.4 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 3.1 |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \end{gathered}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I should take training to improve my occupational skills | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 19.3 | 16.0 | 22.9 | 19.0 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 12.0 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 27.0 | 28.2 | 27.7 | 26.0 | 24.9 | 28.2 | 32.5 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 12.1 | 12.9 | 10.7 | 11.9 | 14.2 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 38.1 | 39.4 | 34.8 | 39.9 | 36.2 | 40.0 | 42.0 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 4.0 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I need a skills assessment to better identify my training needs | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 10.6 | 14.3 | 9.7 | 12.4 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 8.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 18.3 | 15.2 | 22.8 | 19.2 | 18.6 | 16.5 | 15.5 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 16.0 | 25.6 | 14.9 | 14.3 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 10.1 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 49.7 | 40.1 | 43.3 | 48.7 | 52.8 | 52.2 | 57.7 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 5.4 | 4.8 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 7.7 |

Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I would be more interested in taking training | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 26.5 | 25.1 | 28.6 | 23.8 | 27.0 | 28.8 | 25.1 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 26.6 | 24.4 | 25.5 | 28.0 | 25.0 | 29.7 | 29.2 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 9.8 | 10.5 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 10.9 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.6 | 30.9 | 28.6 | 29.9 | 29.5 | 22.9 | 28.4 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 6.3 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High school } \\ & \text { or less } \end{aligned}$ | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I would make financial contributions to my personal training account | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 17.3 | 13.3 | 19.1 | 14.9 | 18.1 | 18.0 | 19.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 23.3 | 28.9 | 21.1 | 29.0 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 22.7 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 13.0 | 13.2 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 6.7 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 35.2 | 33.9 | 36.4 | 35.8 | 35.4 | 33.3 | 35.7 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 11.3 | 10.7 | 14.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 16.3 | 14.9 |

Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2019-11 } \end{aligned}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I would be more interested in taking | Total |  | Unwgt N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
| government topped up |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
| depositing funds into my | Agree | \% | 29.8 | 26.6 | 31.8 | 26.5 | 29.7 | 37.9 | 26.0 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 25.2 | 23.8 | 20.7 | 28.0 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 29.0 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 7.3 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 11.1 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 2.7 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.0 | 29.8 | 31.3 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 20.2 | 32.4 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.7 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 9.9 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 2019-11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High school } \\ & \text { or less } \end{aligned}$ | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - I would be even more interested in taking training if my employer topped up my contribution and that of the government by depositing funds into my personal training account | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1010 | 119 | 142 | 180 | 343 | 133 | 93 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 117 | 131 | 175 | 353 | 141 | 90 |
|  | Agree | \% | 30.9 | 29.7 | 35.7 | 24.6 | 31.3 | 34.4 | 31.1 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 23.8 | 16.6 | 15.4 | 31.6 | 26.0 | 21.9 | 24.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 6.8 | 10.9 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 4.4 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.5 | 29.6 | 32.5 | 28.8 | 27.8 | 23.7 | 31.2 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.9 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 12.5 | 8.8 |
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|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Canada 201911 | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question Which of the following items should a personal training account funded by you, the government and your employer pay for to help you take training? (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE] | Total |  | Unwgt N | 911 | 107 | 121 | 169 | 303 | 125 | 86 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 920 | 104 | 115 | 165 | 319 | 134 | 83 |
|  | Fees for any postsecondary training of my choosing | \% | 46.0 | 37.8 | 44.9 | 47.5 | 45.4 | 51.1 | 48.2 |
|  | Fees for training related to labour market needs | \% | 50.3 | 50.4 | 40.8 | 46.5 | 57.2 | 46.3 | 51.0 |
|  | Fees for training identified by my employer | \% | 48.7 | 53.2 | 51.2 | 44.0 | 50.3 | 48.5 | 43.0 |
|  | A personalized skills assessment | \% | 40.2 | 50.5 | 42.2 | 40.7 | 34.2 | 46.5 | 36.3 |
|  | Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | \% | 63.3 | 64.8 | 56.7 | 62.1 | 62.7 | 68.0 | 67.9 |
|  | Income replacement during training | \% | 56.1 | 63.5 | 68.7 | 58.7 | 49.7 | 52.7 | 54.6 |
|  | None/does not apply | \% | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
|  | Child care | \% | 5.0 | 9.0 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 8.7 |
|  | Transportation (public transit and car expenses) | \% | 8.0 | 10.9 | 12.1 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 7.1 |
|  | Other | \% | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 |


|  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada 2019- } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | High school or less | Some college or university | Completed college | Completed university | Completed Graduate studies | Refuse/no answer |
| Question - Which of the following items should a personal training account funded by you, the government and your employer pay for to help you take training? (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE] | Total | Unwgt N | 2830 | 310 | 385 | 532 | 936 | 398 | 269 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 2957 | 358 | 376 | 523 | 997 | 439 | 264 |
|  | Fees for any postsecondary training of my choosing | \% | 14.3 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 15.6 | 15.1 |
|  | Fees for training related to labour market needs | \% | 15.7 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 14.7 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 16.0 |
|  | Fees for training identified by my employer | \% | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 13.5 |
|  | A personalized skills assessment | \% | 12.5 | 14.8 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 14.2 | 11.4 |
|  | Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | \% | 19.7 | 18.9 | 17.4 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 20.7 | 21.3 |
|  | Income replacement during training | \% | 17.5 | 18.5 | 21.1 | 18.5 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 17.1 |
|  | None/does not apply | \% | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
|  | Child care | \% | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
|  | Transportation (public transit and car expenses) | \% | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
|  | Other | \% | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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[IF EMPLOYED ONLY] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed full-time | Employed part-time |
| Question - These changes threaten my job | Total | Unwgt N | 589 | 510 | 79 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 691 | 574 | 117 |
|  | Likely | \% | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.6 |
|  | Somewhat likely | \% | 11.7 | 10.6 | 16.9 |
|  | Somewhat unlikely | \% | 21.1 | 22.8 | 13.0 |
|  | Unlikely | \% | 59.3 | 58.8 | 61.9 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.6 |
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[IF EMPLOYED ONLY] According to experts, technological changes such as automation, artificial intelligence, online shopping and the sharing economy (e.g. UBER, Airbnb) could have significant impacts on the job market. To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally? [ROTATE]



## To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I should take training to improve my reading ability | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 3.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 6.6 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 5.7 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 10.5 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 15.0 | 7.9 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 76.6 | 74.5 | 80.2 | 69.7 | 80.4 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.4 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 . *Columns with $n$ values less than 30 have been shaded.
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I should take training to improve my math skills | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 8.7 | 8.9 | 13.3 | 12.3 | 6.0 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 17.7 | 18.8 | 21.5 | 26.7 | 12.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 14.6 | 17.4 | 10.6 | 4.4 | 11.8 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 55.9 | 52.3 | 50.0 | 56.6 | 65.9 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.0 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I should take training to improve my computer skills (e.g. using Internet tools) | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 17.5 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 33.2 | 16.9 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 30.8 | 30.7 | 38.2 | 9.7 | 30.9 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 14.3 | 17.5 | 6.0 | 12.7 | 11.5 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 35.0 | 33.5 | 34.5 | 41.5 | 37.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 2.4 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I should take training to improve my occupational skills | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 19.3 | 22.3 | 18.8 | 26.5 | 12.3 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 27.0 | 32.7 | 29.9 | 27.0 | 13.9 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 12.1 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 19.0 | 9.8 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 38.1 | 29.1 | 40.3 | 27.4 | 57.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 6.8 |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I need a skills assessment to better identify my training needs | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 10.6 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 23.3 | 6.6 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 18.3 | 19.8 | 15.2 | 24.3 | 15.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 16.0 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 19.7 | 9.9 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 49.7 | 44.9 | 52.5 | 32.7 | 61.0 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 6.8 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20. *Columns with $n$ values less than 30 have been shaded.
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Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I would be more interested in taking training | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 26.5 | 28.4 | 26.4 | 47.4 | 19.7 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 26.6 | 30.0 | 36.1 | 16.1 | 16.7 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 9.8 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 5.5 | 7.3 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.6 | 24.3 | 15.7 | 24.4 | 43.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 8.6 | 6.1 | 11.7 | 6.6 | 12.7 |

Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I would make financial contributions to my personal training account | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 17.3 | 17.8 | 14.9 | 22.6 | 16.4 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 23.3 | 25.4 | 31.3 | 25.5 | 15.1 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 13.0 | 17.3 | 5.0 | 15.1 | 7.3 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 35.2 | 29.6 | 32.4 | 26.6 | 49.0 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 11.3 | 9.9 | 16.4 | 10.2 | 12.3 |

Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - I would be more interested in taking training if the government topped up my contribution by depositing funds into my personal training account | Total | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
|  | Agree | \% | 29.8 | 33.7 | 32.4 | 33.2 | 20.3 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 25.2 | 28.2 | 31.5 | 10.2 | 18.4 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 7.3 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 28.0 | 21.9 | 16.0 | 35.3 | 44.6 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.7 | 7.3 | 14.5 | 16.2 | 11.8 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 . *Columns with $n$ values less than 30 have been shaded.
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Let's suppose that the government created a personal training account in your name to help you save money for training. This would be like a Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP), but for your training. To what extent would you agree or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]


|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed full-time | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - Which of the following items should a personal training account funded by you, the government and your employer pay for to help you take training? (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE] | Total | Unwgt N | 911 | 479 | 73 | 28 | 331 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 920 | 538 | 110 | 35 | 237 |
|  | Fees for any post-secondary training of my choosing | \% | 46.0 | 48.6 | 44.6 |  | 39.2 |
|  | Fees for training related to labour market needs | \% | 50.3 | 51.9 | 59.1 |  | 41.6 |
|  | Fees for training identified by my employer | \% | 48.7 | 51.6 | 52.5 |  | 39.2 |
|  | A personalized skills assessment | \% | 40.2 | 38.4 | 47.4 |  | 40.5 |
|  | Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | \% | 63.3 | 62.8 | 73.0 |  | 60.3 |
|  | Income replacement during training | \% | 56.1 | 56.4 | 63.3 |  | 49.2 |
|  | None/does not apply | \% | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  | 7.2 |
|  | Child care | \% | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.5 |  | 4.9 |
|  | Transportation (public transit and car expenses) | \% | 8.0 | 7.3 | 8.9 |  | 8.4 |
|  | Other | \% | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  | 2.3 |
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|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed full-time | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - Which of the following items should a personal training account funded by you, the government and your employer pay for to help you take training? (Select all that apply) [RANDOMIZE] | Total | Unwgt N | 2830 | 1561 | 247 | 100 | 922 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 2957 | 1743 | 390 | 130 | 695 |
|  | Fees for any post-secondary training of my choosing | \% | 14.3 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 15.2 | 13.4 |
|  | Fees for training related to labour market needs | \% | 15.7 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 15.3 | 14.2 |
|  | Fees for training identified by my employer | \% | 15.2 | 15.9 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 13.4 |
|  | A personalized skills assessment | \% | 12.5 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 13.8 |
|  | Direct training expenses (textbooks, educational materials, notebooks, and so on) | \% | 19.7 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 16.8 | 20.6 |
|  | Income replacement during training | \% | 17.5 | 17.4 | 17.9 | 20.8 | 16.8 |
|  | None/does not apply | \% | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
|  | Child care | \% | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
|  | Transportation (public transit and car expenses) | \% | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
|  | Other | \% | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,010 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between November $29^{\text {th }}$ and December $2^{\text {nd }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20. *Columns with $n$ values less than 30 have been shaded.
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|  |  |  | Employment Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Canada 2019-11 | Employed fulltime | Employed parttime | Unemployed | Not in labour force |
| Question - If you had to choose | Total |  | Unwgt N | 1010 | 510 | 79 | 30 | 391 |
| would the employer's support |  | Wgt N | 1006 | 574 | 117 | 38 | 277 |
| acquisition positively influence your decision? | It would very much positively influence my decision | \% | 41.0 | 39.3 | 48.4 | 51.6 | 40.0 |
|  | It would somewhat positively influence my decision | \% | 32.7 | 37.8 | 32.7 | 26.2 | 23.1 |
|  | It would not positively influence my decision at all | \% | 12.4 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 11.0 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 13.9 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 9.3 | 25.9 |

