Preferred PM: Trudeau 38.2 > Scheer 21.5 > Singh 15.1 > Unsure 14.0 > May 7.4 > Blanchet 2.7 > Bernier 1.1

Nanos Weekly Tracking, ending November 29, 2019 (released December 3, 2019)


(1) nanos

Ideas powered by world-class data

## AT A GLANCE

## NON SUBSCRIBER REPORT

Subscribe and get access to the Nanos data by subscribing at http://time-map.co/INT3 for \$4 a month > ballot tracking > issue tracking > economic tracking > cut the data by region and demographic and see the trend lines
Ballot - [Available to Subscribers through our Data Portals] -The latest Nanos federal ballot tracking has the Liberals at $\square$ per cent, followed by the Conservatives at $\square$ per cent, the NDP at $\square$ per cent, the Greens at $\square$ per cent, the BQ at $\square$ per cent and the People's Party of Canada (PPC) at $\square$ per cent.
Accessible Voters - Asked whether they would consider voting for each of the federal parties

Preferred Prime Minister - Nanos tracking has Trudeau as the preferred choice as PM at 38.2 per cent of Canadians followed by Scheer (21.5), Singh ( $15.1 \%$ ), May ( $7.4 \%$ ) and Bernier ( $1.1 \%$ ). Fourteen per cent of Canadians were unsure whom they preferred.
Qualities of a Good Political Leader - More than half of Canadians

Nanos Party Power Index - The Nanos Index which is a composite of a series of measures including ballot and leadership impressions has the Liberals at 54.3 points, followed by the NDP with 47.7 points, the Conservatives with 45.0 points, the Greens 34.2 points, the People's Party 21.3 points and the BQ 34.4 points (QC only).

Visit the live Nanos data portal where you can dynamically chart ballot, preferred PM and Nanos Index numbers by region, gender and age. The methodology for the weekly tracking is posted here. PDFs of the polling reports are on the Nanos website.

## Contact: Nik Nanos, Chief Data Scientist

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 nik@nanos.co Twitter: @niknanos

## APERÇU

## RAPPORT POUR LES NON-ABONNÉS

Jouez avec les données sur le portail interactif Nanos au http://www.nanos.co/portail-des-donnees-courantes/?lang=fr > suivi politique > suivi des enjeux > suivi économique > sélectionnez les données des régions ou des groupes démographiques et consultez les lignes de tendance Scrutin - [Disponibles aux abonnés à travers les portails de données] Selon le plus récent suivi de scrutin fédéral Nanos, les libéraux bénéficient de l'appui de pour cent des Canadiens, suivi des conservateurs avec pour cent, du NPD avec pour cent, des verts avec pour cent, du BQ avec pour cent, et du Parti populaire du Canada avec pour cent.
Électeurs accessibles - Lorsqu'on leur a demandé s'ils considéreraient voter pour chacun des partis fédéraux,

Premier ministre préféré - Selon le suivi Nanos, Trudeau est le choix préféré comme Premier ministre de 38,2 pour cent des Canadiens, suivi de Scheer ( $21,5 \%$ ), Singh ( $15,1 \%$ ), May ( $7,4 \%$ ) et Bernier ( $1,1 \%$ ). Quatorze pour cent des Canadiens étaient incertains de leur préférence. Qualités d'un bon leader politique - Plus de la moitié des Canadiens

Indice de puissance politique Nanos - Selon l'Indice Nanos, qui est composé d'une série de mesures incluant les préférences de vote et les impressions des chefs des partis, les libéraux ont 54,3 points, suivi du NPD avec 47,7 points, les conservateurs 45,0 points, les verts 34,2 points, le Parti populaire du Canada 21,3 points et le BQ 34,4 points (QC seulement).

Visitez le portail de données courantes de Nanos où vous pouvez interagir avec les graphiques du scrutin, du PM préféré et de l'Indice Nanos et filtrer par région, sexe et âge. La méthodologie pour le suivi hebdomadaire est publiée ici. Les fichiers PDF des rapports des sondages se trouvent sur le site Web de Nanos.
Contactez: Nik Nanos, Chef des données
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 nik@nanos.co Twitter: @niknanos

## NANOS DATA PORTALS

Subscribe now for just \$4 a month (\$48 for a 12 month subscription) to have unlimited access including national, regional, and demographic trend lines to the following dashboards in the political portal and all other data portals:

|  | Subscribers | Non-subscribers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly National Ballot Tracking Canada (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Undecided Tracking (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Preferred PM Tracking Canada (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ (topline data only) |
| Weekly Party Power Index Tracking Canada (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ (topline data only) |
| Weekly Consider Voting for Liberal Party of Canada (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Consider Voting for the Conservative Party of Canada (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Consider Voting for the NDP of Canada (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Consider Voting for the Green Party of Canada (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Consider Voting for the Bloc Québécois (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Weekly Consider Voting for the People's Party of Canada (new) (2014 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2015 Canadian Nightly Federal Election Tracking (detailed data) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ (topline data only) |
| CTV-Globe-Nanos 2019 Canadian Nightly Federal Election Tracking (detailed data) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ (topline data only) |
| Weekly Canadian Consumer Confidence Tracking (2008 to present) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Weekly Issue Tracking Canada (2015 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Canada-US Relations Annual Tracking (2005 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| The Nanos Annual Public Policy Map (2015 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| The Mood of Canada Annual Tracking Survey (2007 to present) | $\checkmark$ |  |

## PARTY POWER INDEX

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

Contact: Nik Nanos
(613) 234-4666 x 237
nik@nanos.co

Subscribe to the Nanos data portals to get access to detailed demographic and regional breakdowns.


## PREFERRED PM

National - Weekly Tracking - Preferred Prime Minister First Ranked Choice (Ending November 29, 2019, n=1,000)

Question: Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

## Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nik@nanos.co

Subscribe to the Nanos data portals to get access to detailed demographic and regional breakdowns.


## PARTY POWER INDEX

The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nik@nanos.co

Subscribe to the Nanos data portals to get access to detailed demographic and regional breakdowns.

Canada Weekly Index Tracking Scores
(Ending November 29, 2019, n=1,000)
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## METHODOLOGY

The Weekly Nanos Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on a dual frame (land + cell-lines) random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .
Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

- a ballot question that captures the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ vote preferences;
- a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
- the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
- whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100 , where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time. The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.

| Element | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Organization who <br> commissioned the research | Nanos Research |
| Final Sample Size | 1,000 Randomly selected individuals, four week rolling <br> average of 250 interviews a week. |
| Source of Sample | Dynata |
| Type of Sample | Probability |
| Margin of Error | RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) telephone survey |
| Mode of Survey | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD <br> (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada. |
| Sampling Method Base | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British <br> Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. <br> Six digit postal code was used to validate geography. |
| Demographics (Captured) | Age, gender, education, income |
| Demographics (Other) | Live interviews with live supervision to validate work |


| Element | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weighting of Data | The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census <br> information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure <br> a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting <br> disclosure |
| Screening | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market <br> research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political <br> party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the <br> data. |
| Excluded | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell <br> lines could not participate. |
| Demographics | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the <br> sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. <br> Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to <br> allow for a minimum regional sample. |
| Stratification | Nine percent, consistent with industry norms. |
| Qstimated | Question order in the preceding report was asked in the following <br> order - party consideration, unprompted vote preferences, preferred <br> Prime Minister and qualities of a good political leader. |
| Question Order Rate | This was part of a weekly tracking survey. The preceding module <br> included questions about national issues of concern and ballot <br> preferences and economic confidence. |
| Survey Company | The wording of questions is as presented in the report with a <br> randomization of the political leaders for the question involving leader <br> qualities. |
| Nanos ResearchContact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or <br> questions. <br> http://nanos.co |  |
| Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 - Toll Free: (888) 737-5505 ext. 223. |  |
| Email: info@nanosresearch.com. |  |

## ABOUT NANOS

As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process.
www.nanos.co
nanos dimap analytika
(D) nanos

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com

## TRACK RECORD

Conservatives higher
than poll average

Election results


Conservatives lower than poll average

| Party | Sunday <br> 20h <br> Only | Election <br> Results <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conservative | $32.5 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | -1.9 |
| Liberal | $31.7 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | -1.4 |
| NDP | $20.8 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | +4.9 |
| GPC | $6.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | -0.5 |
| BQ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | -0.5 |
| PPC | $1.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | -0.1 |
| Other | $0.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | -0.2 |
| Decided <br> Voters | $\mathrm{n}=739$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Margin of Error $>19$ times out of $20>$ | $\pm 3.6$ |  |  |

## Political Package - Tracking ending November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$ - STAT SHEET

For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question Consider Liberal | Total | Unwgt N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Yes, would consider | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No, would not consider | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE]


For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question Consider NDP | Total | Unwgt N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Yes, would consider | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No, would not consider | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land-and cell- lines) random telephone survey of 1,029 Canadians, 18 years of age or older. Four week rolling average ( 250 per week) ending November $29^{\text {th }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

## Political Package - Tracking ending November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$ - STAT SHEET

For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11-29 } \end{gathered}$ | Quebec | Male | Female | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 plus |
| Question - Consider Bloc | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 250 | 250 | 125 | 125 | 39 | 37 | 40 | 48 | 86 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 246 | 246 | 119 | 127 | 46 | 40 | 45 | 47 | 68 |
|  | Yes, would consider | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No, would not consider | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE]


For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE]


Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land-and cell- lines) random telephone survey of 1,029 Canadians, 18 years of age or older. Four week rolling average ( 250 per week) ending November $29^{\text {th }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

## Political Package - Tracking ending November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$ - STAT SHEET

Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - First ranked Preferred | Total | Unwgt N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
| Prime Minister |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Justin Trudeau | \% | 38.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Andrew Scheer | \% | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jagmeet Singh | \% | 15.1 |  |  |  |  | Subs | ibers on |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yves-François Blanchet | \% | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elizabeth May | \% | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maxime Bernier | \% | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE]


Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) random telephone survey of 1,029 Canadians, 18 years of age or older. Four week rolling average ( 250 per week) ending November $29^{\text {th }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

## Political Package - Tracking ending November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$ - STAT SHEET

For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - <br> Andrew Scheer | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Have | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not have | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question Jagmeet Singh | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Have | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not have | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE]


Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land-and cell- lines) random telephone survey of 1,029 Canadians, 18 years of age or older. Four week rolling average ( 250 per week) ending November $29^{\text {th }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

## Political Package - Tracking ending November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$ - STAT SHEET

For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question Elizabeth May | Total | Unwgt N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Have | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not have | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2019-11- } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - <br> Maxime Bernier | Total | Unwgt N | 1029 | 101 | 252 | 311 | 206 | 159 | 494 | 535 | 105 | 104 | 153 | 249 | 418 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 248 | 300 | 200 | 152 | 485 | 515 | 197 | 163 | 187 | 189 | 265 |
|  | Have | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not have | \% | Subscribers only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsure | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) random telephone survey of 1,029 Canadians, 18 years of age or older. Four week rolling average ( 250 per week) ending November $29^{\text {th }}, 2019$. The margin of error this survey is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

## Political Package - Tracking ending November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$ - STAT SHEET

| Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  | Overall Brand Index> Liberal | Overall Brand Index> Conservative | Overall Brand Index> NDP | Overall Brand Index> Green | Overall Brand Index> BQ | Overall <br> Brand <br> Index> <br> People |
| Atlantic | Mean | 0.6541 | 0.3299 | 0.5271 | 0.4250 |  | 0.2259 |
|  | N | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Quebec | Mean | 0.6295 | 0.3291 | 0.4860 | 0.2947 | 0.3445 | 0.2040 |
|  | N | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 |
| Ontario | Mean | 0.5660 | 0.4823 | 0.4552 | 0.3517 |  | 0.2204 |
|  | N | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Prairies | Mean | 0.3661 | 0.6182 | 0.4789 | 0.2892 |  | 0.2153 |
|  | N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |  | 200 |
| British Columbia | Mean | 0.5175 | 0.4461 | 0.4752 | 0.4206 |  | 0.2053 |
|  | N | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 |  | 152 |
| Total | Mean | 0.5432 | 0.4508 | 0.4778 | 0.3429 | 0.3445 | 0.2135 |
|  | N | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 248 | 1000 |

