# Liberals 36, Conservatives 35, NDP 19, Green 6 in latest Nanos federal tracking

Nanos Weekly Tracking, ending March 16, 2018 (released March 20, 2018 - 6 am Eastern)





Ideas powered by world-class data

### At a glance

Play with the data at the interactive Nanos portal at <u>http://www.nanos.co/dataportal/</u> > ballot tracking > issue tracking > economic tracking > cut the data by region and demographic and see the trend lines

**Ballot** – The latest Nanos federal ballot tracking has the Liberals at 35.9 per cent support, followed by the Conservatives at 34.8 per cent, the NDP at 18.5 percent, the BQ at 3.5 per cent and the Greens at 6.2 per cent.

Accessible Voters – Asked whether they would consider voting for each of the federal parties, 49.3 per cent of Canadians say they would consider voting Liberal while 48.4 per cent would consider voting Conservative. Four in ten (38.9%) would consider voting NDP while 28.3 per cent and 29.3 per cent of Canadians would consider voting for the BQ and Green parties respectively.

**Preferred Prime Minister** – Nanos tracking has Trudeau as the preferred choice as PM at 37.5 per cent of Canadians followed by Scheer (25.9%), Singh (9.0%) and May (5.7%). Twenty per cent of Canadians were unsure who they preferred.

**Qualities of a Good Political Leader** – Close to six in ten Canadians (54.7%) believe Trudeau has the qualities of a good political leader while 39.8 per cent believe Scheer has the qualities of a good political leader. Almost four in ten (38.4%) say Jagmeet Singh has the qualities of a good political leader, while 33.8 per cent believe the same about May. More than one in four (27.5%) said Martine Ouellet has the qualities of a good political leader (QC only).

**Nanos Party Power Index** – The Nanos Index which is a composite of a series of measures including ballot and leadership impressions has the Liberals with 54.6 points, the Conservatives 52.7 points, the NDP 44.5 points, the Greens 33.7 points and the BQ 28.9 points (QC only).

Visit the live <u>Nanos data portal</u> where you can dynamically chart ballot, preferred PM and Nanos Index numbers by region, gender and age. The methodology for the weekly tracking is posted <u>here</u>. PDFs of the polling reports are on the Nanos website.

#### Contact: Nik Nanos, FMRIA

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 nnanos@nanosresearch.com Twitter: @niknanos

## **Party Power Index**

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

#### **Nanos Tracking Data Summary**

	This week	Last week	Oct 18 (Election Call)	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago (Dec 15/17)	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
Liberal	35.9%	35.8%	39.1%	36.6%	40.7%	41.4%	43.0%	34.6%
Conserva	ative 34.8%	33.1%	30.5%	30.8%	30.3%	28.1%	34.8%	27.9%
NDP	18.5%	19.3%	19.7%	20.3%	17.5%	17.0%	20.3%	13.6%
Bloc	3.5%	4.5%	5.5%	3.3%	3.8%	4.9%	7.2%	3.0%
Green	6.2%	6.7%	4.6%	8.0%	6.7%	6.0%	8.8%	2.9%

Contact: Nik Nanos (613) 234-4666 x 237	Preferred Prime Minister	This week	Last week	Oct 18 2015	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago (Dec 15/17)	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
nnanos@nanosresearch.com	Trudeau	37.5%	39.2%	35.5%	41.0%	46.8%	49.7%	49.7%	37.5%
Twitter: @niknanos	Scheer	25.9%	23.2%	29.1%	21.9%	21.9%	17.3%	25.9%	17.3%
Category order based on number of	Singh	9.0%	9.4%	18.9%	9.3%	8.2%	10.6%	11.6%	6.6%
seats in the House of Commons	Ouellet	2.4%	2.6%	1.8%	1.5%	1.0%	0.8%	2.6%	0.2%
	Мау	5.7%	5.9%	5.2%	5.4%	5.9%	4.3%	6.6%	3.7%
	Unsure	19.5%	19.7%	9.6%	20.9%	16.2%	17.4%	21.5%	15.1%

Canada Party Power Index	This week	Last week	Oct 18 2015	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago (Dec 15/17)	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
Liberal	54.6	56.8	58.1	59.3	62.5	62.8	63.0	54.6
Conservative	52.7	51.5	49.3	48.6	49.5	47.8	52.7	47.8
NDP	44.5	45.3	49.8	43.7	45.7	46.1	47.6	41.5
Bloc	28.9	29.3	31.5	29.0	27.7	24.6	31.9	24.6
Green	33.7	34.3	30.4	35.7	35.7	34.6	36.5	32.2

# Leadership Summary (n) NANOS

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

#### **Nanos Tracking Data Summary**

3 Months

Party Consider	This week	Last week	Oct 18 2015	4 Weeks Ago	Ago (Dec 15/17)	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
Liberal	49.3%	52.1%	54.7%	54.1%	56.2%	58.1%	59.8%	49.3%
Conservative	48.4%	48.2%	39.3%	46.7%	44.9%	44.0%	48.4%	41.9%
NDP	38.9%	40.3%	40.4%	38.1%	42.4%	42.5%	44.1%	34.2%
Bloc	28.3%	30.3%	30.4%	30.9%	30.4%	30.8%	39.9%	24.0%
Green	29.3%	31.2%	22.7%	29.8%	30.7%	32.3%	33.7%	27.2%

Contact: Nik Nanos (613) 234-4666 x 237	Has Qualities of a Good Political Leader	This week	Last week	Oct 18 2015	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago (Dec 15/17)	1 Year Ago	12 Month High	12 Month Low
nnanos@nanosresearch.com	Trudeau	54.7%	57.8%	61.3%	63.6%	66.1%	66.6%	66.6%	54.7%
Twitter: @niknanos	Scheer	39.8%	38.8%	51.8%	35.5%	39.6%	38.2%	40.4%	32.7%
Category order based on number of	Singh	38.4%	38.3%	57.2%	37.8%	38.2%	49.8%	52.0%	32.0%
seats in the House of Commons	Ouellet	27.5%	29.2%	51.2%	25.4%	28.2%	15.3%	36.6%	15.3%
	Мау	33.8%	34.7%	36.4%	37.7%	41.9%	36.1%	41.9%	33.8%




## National Ballot

Election

Question: For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?

Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice – Long Term Trend (Four week rolling average ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=850

NANOS



#### **Federal Party Accessible Voters**

#### Nanos Weekly Tracking





A proven track record of accuracy

### **Consider Liberal**

# n NANOS




National – Weekly Tracking (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)

**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Liberal Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com






### **Consider Conservative**




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Conservative Party

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



www.nanosresearch.com

### **Consider NDP**

# (D) NANOS



**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] NDP


Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)





www.nanosresearch.com

### **Consider Bloc**


# (n) NANOS



**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Bloc Québécois

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



Quebec only – Weekly Tracking

### **Consider Green**

80%

# () NANOS




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Green Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com




National – Weekly Tracking (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



#### Tracking on the Federal Political Leaders

#### Nanos Weekly Tracking





Winning solutions for decision-makers





National – Weekly Tracking – Preferred Prime Minister First Ranked Choice (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)

**Question:** Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com






### Trudeau


National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, *n*=1,000)

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have ( do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Justin Trudeau

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





NANOS

### Scheer

## D) NANOS


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Andrew Scheer.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



#### National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, *n*=1,000)




# Singh

## n NANOS


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Jagmeet Singh

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



#### National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



## Ouellet


Quebec only – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, *n*=248)


NANOS

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they ha do not have the qualities to be a good politi leader? [RANDOMIZE] Martine Ouellet

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





## May

## n NANOS

National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)

70% 60% 50% 36% 34% 31% 30% 20% 10% 0% 2013-08-17 2015-08-17 2014-08-17 2016-08-17 2017-08-17 - Has qualities of a good leader Does not have qualities of a good leader 18 www.nanosresearch.com

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Elizabeth May


Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



#### **Nanos Party Power Index**

#### Nanos Weekly Tracking





## **Party Power Index**


The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

**Contact: Nik Nanos** 

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



Canada Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Ending March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



NANOS



#### Methodology

www.nanos.co

### Methodology

The Weekly Nanos Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on a dual frame (land + cell-lines) random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

- a ballot question that captures the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> vote preferences;
- a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
- the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
- whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time. The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.

#### **Technical Note**

Element	Description	Element	Description			
Organization who commissioned the research	Nanos Research	Weighting of Data	The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2011) and the sample is geographically stratified to			
	1,000 randomly selected individuals; four week-rolling average of 250 interviews per week. The interviews		ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for ful weighting disclosure			
Sample Size	are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each day the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added.	Screening	Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.			
Margin of Error	±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.	Excluded Demographics	Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines could not participate.			
Mode of Survey	Tracking; RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) random telephone survey.		By age and gender using the latest Census information (2011) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of			
Sampling Method Base	The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.	Stratification	Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.			
	Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British	Estimated Response Rate	Nine percent, consistent with industry norms.			
Demographics (Captured)	Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.	Question Order	Question order in the preceding report was asked in the following order – party consideration, unprompted vote preferences,			
Demographics (Other)	Age, gender, education, income		preferred Prime Minister and qualities of a good political leader.			
Fieldwork/Validation	Live interviews with live supervision to validate work as per the MRIA Code of Conduct	Question Content	This was part of a weekly tracking survey. The preceding module included questions about national issues of concern and ballot preferences and economic confidence.			
Number of Calls	Maximum of five call backs.	Question Wording	The wording of questions is as presented in the report with a randomization of the political leaders for the question involving leader qualities.			
Time of Calls	Local time 5:00-9:00 pm, on weekends 12:00- 6:00 pm.	Survey Company	Nanos Research			
Field Dates	Four week period ending March 12th, 2018		Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns			
Language of Survey	The survey was conducted in both English and French.	Contact	or questions. <u>http://www.nanosresearch.com</u> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: nnanos@nanosresearch.com.			

#### **About Nanos**

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.



View our brochure

Nik Nanos FMRIA Chairman, Nanos Research Group Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Washington DC (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

2015 Federal Election	NANOS Sunday, October 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	<b>ELECTION</b> Results Monday, October 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	Variance
Liberal	39.1%	39.5%	0.4
G	30.5%	31.9%	1.4
*NDP	19.7%	19.7%	0
<b>BLOC</b> Québécois	5.5%	4.7%	0.8
	4.6%	3.4%	1.2
Other	0.5%	0.5%	0
Numbers of interviews (aggregate)	722		
Margin of error	±3.7		

Election results from Elections Canada as of 10:30am EST on October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015.