# Liberals 41, Conservatives 31, NDP 20, Green 5 in latest Nanos federal tracking

Nanos Weekly Tracking, ending January 5, 2018 (released January 9, 2018 - 6 am Eastern)





Ideas powered by world-class data

## At a glance

**Ballot** – The latest Nanos federal ballot tracking has the Liberals at 40.9 per cent support, followed by the Conservatives at 30.7 per cent, the NDP at 19.5 percent, the BQ at 3.7 per cent and the Greens at 4.8 per cent.

Accessible Voters – Asked whether they would consider voting for each of the federal parties, 57.3 per cent of Canadians say they would consider voting Liberal while 45.8 per cent would consider voting Conservative. Four in ten Canadians (42.2%) would consider voting NDP while 24.0 per cent and 28.7 per cent of Canadians would consider voting for the BQ and Green parties respectively.

**Preferred Prime Minister** – Nanos tracking has Trudeau as the preferred choice as PM at 45.6 per cent of Canadians followed by Scheer (20.3%), Singh (9.0%) and May (4.1%). Twenty per cent of Canadians were unsure who they preferred.

**Qualities of a Good Political Leader** – Two in three Canadians (65.6%) believe Trudeau has the qualities of a good political leader while 37.5 per cent believe Scheer has the qualities of a good political leader. Four in ten Canadians (39.5%) say Jagmeet Singh has the qualities of a good political leader, while 37.9 per cent believe the same about May. One in four (27.7%) said Martine Ouellet has the qualities of a good political leader (QC only).

**Nanos Party Power Index** – The Nanos Index which is a composite of a series of measures including ballot and leadership impressions has the Liberals with 62.8 points, the Conservatives 49.9 points, the NDP 46.8 points, the Greens 34.4 points and the BQ 25.9 points (QC only).

Visit the live <u>Nanos data portal</u> where you can dynamically chart ballot, preferred PM and Nanos Index numbers by region, gender and age. The methodology for the weekly tracking is posted <u>here</u>. PDFs of the polling reports are on the Nanos website.

#### Contact: Nik Nanos, FMRIA

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 nnanos@nanosresearch.com Twitter: @niknanos

## **Party Power Index**

Ballot

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

### **Nanos Tracking Data Summary**

|              | This week | Last week | Oct 18<br>(Election<br>Call) | 4 Weeks<br>Ago | 3 Months<br>Ago (Oct<br>6/17) | 1 Year<br>Ago | 12 Month<br>High | 12 Month<br>Low |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Liberal      | 40.9%     | 41.6%     | 39.1%                        | 40.7%          | 38.1%                         | 43.0%         | 43.0%            | 34.6%           |
| Conservative | 30.7%     | 30.1%     | 30.5%                        | 30.3%          | 31.2%                         | 27.9%         | 33.0%            | 27.9%           |
| NDP          | 19.5%     | 20.1%     | 19.7%                        | 17.5%          | 15.2%                         | 16.7%         | 20.2%            | 13.6%           |
| Bloc         | 3.7%      | 3.5%      | 5.5%                         | 3.8%           | 6.3%                          | 5.5%          | 7.2%             | 3.3%            |
| Green        | 4.8%      | 4.2%      | 4.6%                         | 6.7%           | 6.7%                          | 5.9%          | 7.6%             | 2.9%            |

|  |                          |           |           |                |                | 3 Months          |               |                  |                 |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Contact: Nik Nanos<br>(613) 234-4666 x 237 | Preferred Prime Minister | This week | Last week | Oct 18<br>2015 | 4 Weeks<br>Ago | Ago (Oct<br>6/17) | 1 Year<br>Ago | 12 Month<br>High | 12 Month<br>Low |
| nnanos@nanosresearch.com                   | Trudeau                  | 45.6%     | 46.9%     | 35.5%          | 45.4%          | 43.9%             | 49.3%         | 49.7%            | 41.9%           |
| Twitter: @niknanos                         | Scheer                   | 20.3%     | 21.5%     | 29.1%          | 23.5%          | 21.7%             | 18.1%         | 23.5%            | 17.0%           |
| Category order based on number of          | Singh                    | 9.0%      | 9.1%      | 18.9%          | 8.0%           | 9.3%              | 10.0%         | 11.6%            | 6.6%            |
| seats in the House of Commons              | Ouellet                  | 1.4%      | 1.0%      | 1.8%           | 1.3%           | 1.2%              | 0.5%          | 2.3%             | 0.2%            |
|  | Мау                      | 4.1%      | 3.9%      | 5.2%           | 6.4%           | 4.0%              | 4.0%          | 6.4%             | 3.7%            |
|  | Unsure                   | 19.5%     | 17.6%     | 9.6%           | 15.4%          | 19.8%             | 18.0%         | 21.5%            | 15.1%           |

| Canada Party Power Index | This week                              | Last week  | Oct 18<br>2015   | 4 Weeks<br>Ago  | 3 Months<br>Ago (Oct<br>6/17)   | 1 Year<br>Ago   | 12 Month<br>High   | 12 Month<br>Low   |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Liberal                  | 62.8                                   | 62.5   | 58.1   | 61.0  | 59.4  | 63.2  | 63.2   | 57.1  |
| Conservative             | 49.9                                   | 49.5   | 49.3   | 50.4  | 49.6  | 47.5  | 51.3   | 47.5  |
| NDP                      | 46.8                                   | 46.8   | 49.8   | 44.8  | 44.0  | 46.0  | 47.6   | 43.1  |
| Bloc                     | 25.9                                   | 25.7   | 31.5   | 28.8  | 27.9  | 24.3  | 31.8   | 22.6  |
| Green                    | 34.4                                   | 33.8   | 30.4   | 36.4  | 33.3  | 33.2  | 36.5   | 32.2  |
|                          | Liberal<br>Conservative<br>NDP<br>Bloc | Liberal 62.8<br>Conservative 49.9<br>NDP 46.8<br>Bloc 25.9 | Liberal62.862.5Conservative49.949.5NDP46.846.8Bloc25.925.7 | Canada Party Power Index         This week Last week         2015           Liberal         62.8         62.5         58.1           Conservative         49.9         49.5         49.3           NDP         46.8         46.8         49.8           Bloc         25.9         25.7         31.5 | Canada Party Power Index         This week Last week         2015         Ago           Liberal         62.8         62.5         58.1         61.0           Conservative         49.9         49.5         49.3         50.4           NDP         46.8         46.8         49.8         44.8           Bloc         25.9         25.7         31.5         28.8 | Canada Party Power Index         This week Last week         2015         Ago         6/17)           Liberal         62.8         62.5         58.1         61.0         59.4           Conservative         49.9         49.5         49.3         50.4         49.6           NDP         46.8         46.8         49.8         44.8         44.0           Bloc         25.9         25.7         31.5         28.8         27.9 | Canada Party Power Index         This week Last week         Oct 18<br>2015         4 Weeks<br>Ago         Ago (Oct<br>6/17)         1 Year<br>Ago           Liberal         62.8         62.5         58.1         61.0         59.4         63.2           Conservative         49.9         49.5         49.3         50.4         49.6         47.5           NDP         46.8         46.8         49.8         44.8         44.0         46.0           Bloc         25.9         25.7         31.5         28.8         27.9         24.3 | Canada Party Power Index         This week Last week         Oct 18<br>2015         4 Weeks<br>Ago         Ago (Oct<br>6/17)         1 Year<br>Ago         12 Month<br>High           Liberal         62.8         62.5         58.1         61.0         59.4         63.2         63.2           Conservative         49.9         49.5         49.3         50.4         49.6         47.5         51.3           NDP         46.8         46.8         49.8         44.8         44.0         46.0         47.6           Bloc         25.9         25.7         31.5         28.8         27.9         24.3         31.8 |

# Leadership Summary (n) NANOS

A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

### **Nanos Tracking Data Summary**

3 Months

| Party Consider | This week | Last week | Oct 18<br>2015 | 4 Weeks<br>Ago | Ago (Oct<br>6/17) | 1 Year<br>Ago | 12 Month<br>High | 12 Month<br>Low |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Liberal        | 57.3%     | 57.0%     | 54.7%          | 54.8%          | 55.3%             | 59.5%         | 59.8%            | 52.7%           |
| Conservative   | 45.8%     | 45.0%     | 39.3%          | 46.8%          | 47.4%             | 44.2%         | 48.1%            | 41.9%           |
| NDP            | 42.2%     | 44.1%     | 40.4%          | 40.3%          | 41.3%             | 41.4%         | 44.1%            | 35.8%           |
| Bloc           | 24.0%     | 25.0%     | 30.4%          | 34.7%          | 38.4%             | 28.9%         | 39.9%            | 24.0%           |
| Green          | 28.7%     | 30.2%     | 22.7%          | 30.6%          | 33.2%             | 30.1%         | 33.7%            | 27.2%           |

| Contact: Nik Nanos<br>(613) 234-4666 x 237<br>nnanos@nanosresearch.com | Has Qualities of a Good Political<br>Leader | This week | Last week | Oct 18<br>2015 | 4 Weeks<br>Ago | 3 Months<br>Ago (Oct<br>6/17) | 1 Year<br>Ago | 12 Month<br>High | 12 Month<br>Low |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Twitter: @niknanos   | Trudeau                                     | 65.6%     | 65.2%     | 61.3%          | 64.7%          | 63.1%                         | 65.9%         | 66.6%            | 59.2%           |
|  | Scheer                                      | 37.5%     | 38.2%     | 51.8%          | 40.1%          | 33.6%                         | 35.5%         | 40.4%            | 32.7%           |
| Category order based on number of<br>seats in the House of Commons     | Singh                                       | 39.5%     | 40.1%     | 57.2%          | 36.0%          | 42.4%                         | 49.3%         | 52.0%            | 33.2%           |
| seats in the House of Commons  | Ouellet                                     | 27.7%     | 25.0%     | 51.2%          | 30.7%          | 27.8%                         | 14.7%         | 36.6%            | 12.2%           |
|  | Мау   | 37.9%     | 37.8%     | 36.4%          | 41.6%          | 35.9%                         | 35.2%         | 41.9%            | 33.8%           |




## **National Ballot**

Election

**Question:** For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?

Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice – Long Term Trend (Four week rolling average ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=840

NANOS



### **Federal Party Accessible Voters**

### Nanos Weekly Tracking





A proven track record of accuracy

### **Consider Liberal**

# n NANOS




National – Weekly Tracking (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)

Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Liberal Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com






## **Consider Conservative**




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Conservative Party

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



www.nanosresearch.com

### **Consider NDP**

# n NANOS



**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] NDP


Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)

70% -



### **Consider Bloc**


# () NANOS



**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Bloc Québécois

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



www.nanosresearch.com

Quebec only – Weekly Tracking

### **Consider Green**

80%

# () NANOS




**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Green Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com




National – Weekly Tracking (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



www.nanosresearch.com

### Tracking on the Federal Political Leaders

### Nanos Weekly Tracking





Winning solutions for decision-makers





National – Weekly Tracking – Preferred Prime Minister First Ranked Choice (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)

**Question:** Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com






## Trudeau


National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, *n*=1,000)

**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have ( do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Justin Trudeau

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





## Scheer

# n NANOS


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Andrew Scheer.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



### National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



# Singh

## n NANOS


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Jagmeet Singh

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



#### National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)




### Quebec only – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018*, n=248*)


**Question:** For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they ha do not have the qualities to be a good politi leader? [RANDOMIZE] Martine Ouellet

Ouellet

#### Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





www.nanosresearch.com

NANOS

# May

## n NANOS


 Question: For each of the following federal
 70%

 political party leaders, do you think they have or
 70%

Contact: Nik Nanos

leader? [RANDOMIZE] Elizabeth May

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com




#### 60% 50% 38% 33% 30% 29% 20% 10% 0% 2013-08-17 2013-10-17 2013-12-17 2014-06-17 2014-12-17 2015-04-17 2015-06-17 2015-08-17 2015-12-17 2016-02-17 2016-04-17 2016-06-17 2017-02-17 2017-04-17 2017-08-17 2017-10-17 2014-02-17 2014-04-17 2014-08-17 2014-10-17 2015-02-17 2015-10-17 2016-08-17 2016-10-17 2016-12-17 2017-06-17 2017-12-17 Has qualities of a good leader Does not have qualities of a good leader

National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader

www.nanosresearch.com

### **Nanos Party Power Index**

### Nanos Weekly Tracking





## **Party Power Index**


The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



Canada Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Ending January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2018, n=1,000)



NANOS



### Methodology

www.nanos.co

## Methodology

The Weekly Nanos Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on a dual frame (land + cell-lines) random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate  $\pm 3.1$  percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

- a ballot question that captures the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> vote preferences;
- a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
- the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
- whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time. The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.

### **Technical Note**

| Element                                    | Description  | Element                    | Description  |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| Organization who commissioned the research | Nanos Research   | Weighting of               | The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2011) and the sample is geographically stratified to  |
|  | 1,000 randomly selected individuals; four week-rolling<br>average of 250 interviews per week. The interviews   |                            | ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure   |
| Sample Size                                | are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000<br>interviews, where each day the oldest group of 250<br>interviews is dropped and a new group of 250<br>interviews is added. | Screening                  | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market<br>research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a<br>political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the<br>integrity of the data. |
| Margin of Error                            | ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.  | Excluded<br>Demographics   | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines could not participate.   |
| Mode of Survey                             | Tracking; RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) random telephone survey.   |                            | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2011) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of   |
| Sampling Method Base                       | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.   | Stratification             | Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.  |
|  | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British  | Estimated<br>Response Rate | Nine percent, consistent with industry norms.  |
| Demographics (Captured)                    | Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older.<br>Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.  | Question Order             | Question order in the preceding report was asked in the following order – party consideration, unprompted vote preferences,  |
| Demographics (Other)                       | Age, gender, education, income   |                            | preferred Prime Minister and qualities of a good political leader.   |
| Fieldwork/Validation                       | Live interviews with live supervision to validate work as per the MRIA Code of Conduct   | Question<br>Content        | This was part of a weekly tracking survey. The preceding module included questions about national issues of concern and ballot preferences and economic confidence.  |
| Number of Calls                            | Maximum of five call backs.  | Question<br>Wording        | The wording of questions is as presented in the report with a randomization of the political leaders for the question involving leader qualities.  |
| Time of Calls                              | Local time 5:00-9:00 pm, on weekends 12:00- 6:00 pm.   | Survey<br>Company          | Nanos Research   |
| Field Dates                                | Four week period ending January 5th, 2018  |                            | Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns   |
| Language of Survey                         | The survey was conducted in both English and French.   | Contact                    | or questions.<br><u>http://www.nanosresearch.com</u><br>Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237<br>Email: nnanos@nanosresearch.com.  |

### **About Nanos**

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.



View our brochure

Nik Nanos FMRIA Chairman, Nanos Research Group Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Washington DC (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

| 2015 Federal Election                | NANOS<br>Sunday,<br>October 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2015 | <b>ELECTION</b> Results<br>Monday,<br>October 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2015 | Variance |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|----------|
| Liberal                              | 39.1%   | 39.5%   | 0.4      |
| G                                    | 30.5%   | 31.9%   | 1.4      |
| *NDP                                 | 19.7%   | 19.7%   | 0        |
| <b>BLOC</b><br>Québécois             | 5.5%  | 4.7%  | 0.8      |
|                                      | 4.6%  | 3.4%  | 1.2      |
| Other                                | 0.5%  | 0.5%  | 0        |
| Numbers of interviews<br>(aggregate) | 722   |   |          |
| Margin of error                      | ±3.7  |   |          |

Election results from Elections Canada as of 10:30am EST on October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015.