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## (7) nanos

A significant majority of Canadians support or somewhat support enacting a national farmed seafood legislation to govern fish farming in Canada. The majority of Canadians support or somewhat support the practice of fish farming in Canada, and agree or somewhat agree that fish farming is a sustainable food source and that it contributes to regional development in Canada.

- Close to seven in ten Canadians support or somewhat support the practice of fish farming in Canada - Nearly seven out of ten Canadians support (30\%) or somewhat support (38\%) the practice of fish farming in Canada, while just over two in ten somewhat oppose (13\%) or oppose (nine per cent) it. Eleven per cent are unsure.
- More than two in five Canadians say that the practice of fish farming should be increased When asked about the practice of fish farming, given that wild fish stocks can be overfished, 44 per cent of Canadians think that the practice of fish farming should be increased, while 25 per cent think it should stay at the same level and 13 per cent think it should be decreased. Seventeen per cent are unsure.
- Close to eight in ten Canadians agree or somewhat agree that Canadian fish farming is a sustainable food source - Nearly eight in ten Canadians agree (40\%) or somewhat agree (38\%) that Canadian fish farming is a sustainable food source, while more than one in ten somewhat disagree (seven per cent) or disagree (eight per cent). Eight per cent are unsure.
- Over three in four Canadians agree or somewhat agree that fish farming contributes to regional development in Canada - More than three in four Canadians agree (38\%) or somewhat agree (38\%) that fish farming contributes to regional development in Canada, while over one in ten somewhat disagree (five per cent) or disagree (seven per cent). Eleven per cent are unsure.
- Close to nine in ten Canadians support or somewhat support enacting a national farmed seafood legislation to govern fish farming in Canada - When asked their level of support for enacting national farmed seafood legislation to govern fish farming in Canada, close to nine in ten Canadians say they support (58\%) or somewhat support ( $28 \%$ ) it, while less than one in ten somewhat oppose (four per cent) or oppose (three per cent) this. Seven per cent are unsure.

These observations are based on an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September 29 th and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

The research was commissioned by Canadian Aquaculture Industry Alliance.

## Support towards fish farming

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September $29^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017$, $\mathrm{n}=1000$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

| Net Score |
| :---: |
| +45.4 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Oppose } \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | Subgroups | Support/ Somewhat support |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30\% | Atlantic ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ) | 78.1\% |
|  |  | Quebec ( $n=250$ ) | 73.6\% |
|  |  | Ontario ( $\mathrm{n}=300$ ) | 67.9\% |
| Somewhat oppose 13\% |  | Prairies ( $\mathrm{n}=200$ ) | 63.2\% |
|  |  | British Columbia ( $\mathrm{n}=150$ ) | 54.9\% |
|  |  | Male ( $\mathrm{n}=468$ ) | 74.2\% |
|  |  | Female ( $\mathrm{n}=532$ ) | 61.0\% |
|  |  | 18 to 34 ( $\mathrm{n}=239$ ) | 71.1\% |
|  | Somewhat | 35 to 54 ( $n=364$ ) | 66.6\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { support } \\ 38 \% \end{gathered}$ | 55 plus ( $\mathrm{n}=397$ ) | 65.6\% |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

QUESTION - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or oppose the practice of fish farming in Canada?

## Practice of fish farming

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September $29^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017, \mathrm{n}=1000$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

Net score
+31.3


| Subgroups | Increase |
| :--- | :--- |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $46.1 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $48.9 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $44.1 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $43.9 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $37.3 \%$ |
| Male (n=468) | $52.0 \%$ |
| Female (n=532) | $37.2 \%$ |
| 18 to $34(n=239)$ | $52.6 \%$ |
| 35 to $54(n=364)$ | $43.3 \%$ |
| 55 plus (n=397) | $39.8 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding
QUESTION - Given that wild fish stocks are often over-fished, do you think the practice of fish farming should be increased, decreased or stay the same in the future?

## Agreement with Canadian fish farming as sustainable food source

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017$, $\mathrm{n}=1000$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20

```
Net Score
```

+61.7


| Subgroups | Support/ <br> Somewhat <br> support |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $86.2 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $80.0 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $77.1 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $72.3 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $72.0 \%$ |
| Male (n=468) | $80.4 \%$ |
| Female (n=532) | $73.7 \%$ |
| 18 to $34(n=239)$ | $76.2 \%$ |
| 35 to $54(n=364)$ | $79.0 \%$ |
| 55 plus (n=397) | $75.8 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

QUESTION - Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or disagree with the following statements?

Canadian fish farming is a sustainable food source.

## Contribution of fish farming to Canadian regional development

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September 29th and October 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}, 2017$, $\mathrm{n}=1000$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .


| Subgroups | Support/ <br> Somewhat <br> support |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $85.9 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $77.4 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $76.1 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $77.9 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $68.9 \%$ |
| Male (n=468) | $81.4 \%$ |
| Female (n=532) | $72.2 \%$ |
| 18 to $34(n=239)$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| 35 to $54(n=364)$ | $77.0 \%$ |
| 55 plus (n=397) | $75.1 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

QUESTION - Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or disagree with the following statements?

Fish farming contributes to regional development in Canada

## Support for national farmed seafood legislation

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, September $29^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017, \mathrm{n}=1000$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20
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Methodology

## Methodology

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land-and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $29^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals were randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

The research was commissioned by Canadian Aquaculture Industry Alliance.
Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

## About Nanos

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.


## Nanos Research

North America Toll-free
1.888.737.5505
info@nanosresearch.com
View our brochure

## Technical Note

| Element | Description | Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization who commissioned the research | Canadian Aquaculture Industry Alliance | Weighting of Data | The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2014) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure |
| Final Sample Size Margin of Error | 1000 Randomly selected individuals. $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 . | Screening | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data. |
| Mode of Survey | RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey | Excluded Demographics | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines could not participate. |
| Sampling Method Base | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada. | Stratification | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2014) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample. |
|  | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British | Estimated Response Rate | 13 percent, consistent with industry norms. |
| Demographics (Captured) | Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography. | Question Order | Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire. |
| Fieldwork/Validation | Live interviews with live supervision to validate work as per the MRIA Code of Conduct | Question Content | This was module two of an omnibus survey. The preceding module asked about unprompted top issues of national concern. |
| Number of Calls | Maximum of five call backs. | Question Wording | The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals. |
| Time of Calls | Individuals were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:309:30pm local time for the respondent. | Survey Company | Nanos Research |
| Field Dates | September $29^{\text {th }}$ to October $3^{\text {rd }}$, 2017. | Contact | Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <br> http://www.nanosresearch.com <br> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. <br> Email: info@nanosresearch.com. |
| Language of Survey | The survey was conducted in both English and French. |  |  |
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|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & 2017-09 \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic <br> Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | 35 to 54 | 55 plus |
| Question - Do you support, | Total | Unwgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 468 | 532 | 239 | 364 | 397 |
| oppose, or oppose the practice |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 491 | 509 | 271 | 340 | 389 |
| of fish farming in Canada? | Support | \% | 29.6 | 41.4 | 33.9 | 28.3 | 30.0 | 16.8 | 36.0 | 23.5 | 35.4 | 26.5 | 28.3 |
|  | Somewhat support | \% | 37.8 | 36.7 | 39.7 | 39.6 | 33.2 | 38.1 | 38.2 | 37.5 | 35.7 | 40.1 | 37.3 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 12.6 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 11.3 | 15.0 | 23.2 | 9.8 | 15.4 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 13.1 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 9.4 | 9.5 | 4.0 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 20.1 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 5.5 | 9.7 | 11.9 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 10.5 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 11.2 | 13.9 | 1.9 | 8.2 | 12.7 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 9.4 |


|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada <br> 2017-09 | Atlantic <br> Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | 35 to 54 | 55 plus |
| Question - Given that wild fish stocks | Total | Unwgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 468 | 532 | 239 | 364 | 397 |
| can be over-fished, do you think the practice of fish farming should be |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 491 | 509 | 271 | 340 | 389 |
| increased, decreased or stay the | Increased | \% | 44.4 | 46.1 | 48.9 | 44.1 | 43.9 | 37.3 | 52.0 | 37.2 | 52.6 | 43.3 | 39.8 |
|  | Decreased | \% | 13.1 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 27.6 | 9.5 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 12.5 | 15.6 |
|  | Stay at the same | \% | 25.4 | 28.1 | 25.0 | 23.8 | 27.5 | 24.5 | 23.3 | 27.4 | 20.3 | 25.5 | 28.8 |
|  | level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not sure | \% | 17.0 | 15.6 | 17.6 | 20.3 | 17.0 | 10.6 | 15.3 | 18.8 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 15.9 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $29^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017$. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2017-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic <br> Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | 35 to 54 | 55 plus |
| Question - Canadian fish farming is a sustainable food source. | Total | Unwgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 468 | 532 | 239 | 364 | 397 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 491 | 509 | 271 | 340 | 389 |
|  | Agree | \% | 39.5 | 47.7 | 39.0 | 41.7 | 40.8 | 28.6 | 44.4 | 34.7 | 36.7 | 42.7 | 38.6 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 37.5 | 38.5 | 41.0 | 35.4 | 31.5 | 43.4 | 36.0 | 39.0 | 39.5 | 36.3 | 37.2 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 6.9 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 7.8 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 8.4 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 15.2 | 6.3 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 10.1 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 7.7 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 6.9 | 6.3 |

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or disagree with the following statements? [ROTATE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2017-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic <br> Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | 35 to 54 | 55 plus |
| Question - Fish farming contributes to regional development in Canada. | Total | Unwgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 468 | 532 | 239 | 364 | 397 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 491 | 509 | 271 | 340 | 389 |
|  | Agree | \% | 38.4 | 46.7 | 36.0 | 40.5 | 43.2 | 26.1 | 43.6 | 33.4 | 40.6 | 37.9 | 37.3 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 38.3 | 39.2 | 41.4 | 35.6 | 34.7 | 42.8 | 37.8 | 38.8 | 38.1 | 39.1 | 37.8 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 5.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 6.7 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 15.0 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 8.5 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 11.3 | 4.8 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 10.3 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between September $29^{\text {th }}$ and October $3^{\text {rd }}, 2017$. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

## 2017-1046 - Canadian Aquaculture - National Omni STAT SHEET

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada 2017-09 | Atlantic <br> Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British <br> Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 34 | 35 to 54 | 55 plus |
| Question - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat | Total | Unwgt N | 998 | 100 | 250 | 298 | 200 | 150 | 467 | 531 | 239 | 362 | 397 |
| oppose, or oppose enacting national farmed seafood |  | Wgt N | 998 | 100 | 250 | 298 | 200 | 150 | 489 | 508 | 271 | 338 | 389 |
| legislation to govern fish farming in Canada? This new | Support | \% | 57.6 | 67.5 | 50.5 | 64.4 | 51.4 | 57.5 | 57.7 | 57.5 | 58.9 | 52.2 | 61.4 |
| legislation would enable better federal provincial | Somewhat support | \% | 27.8 | 22.9 | 35.6 | 22.6 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 27.3 | 28.4 | 26.7 | 32.8 | 24.4 |
| cooperation, set standards for | Somewhat oppose | \% | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.7 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 3.2 | . 8 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 7.0 | 4.9 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 6.3 |

