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## Impressions of the Chinese Government poor Canadians divided on free trade with China

A recent survey commissioned by the Globe and Mail and conducted by Nanos Research shows that although most Canadians have negative impressions of the Government of China, their views on a trade deal with the country are split.

- Impressions of the Government of China - About one in ten Canadians have a positive (2\%) or somewhat positive (9\%) impression of the Government of China. On the other hand, three quarters of Canadians have a negative (38\%) or somewhat negative (38\%) impression. Thirteen percent of Canadians were unsure.
- Support for a trade deal with China - More than four out of ten Canadians would support (11\%) or somewhat support ( $30 \%$ ) a trade deal with China, while almost half of Canadians would oppose $(24 \%)$ or somewhat oppose ( $23 \%$ ) a deal. Eleven percent were unsure whether or not they support a trade deal with China.
- Demographic differences - Canadians' impressions of both the Government of China and a trade deal with a country were similar across all demographic groups. Seniors aged 60 plus were marginally more likely to either support (14\%) or somewhat support (39\%) a trade deal than people in the 18 to 29 age group (support 10\%, somewhat support $24 \%$ ).

These observations are based on a hybrid survey commissioned by the Globe and Mail and conducted by Nanos Research. Individuals were randomly recruited (land-and cell-line sample using live agents and the survey was administered online random survey completed between January $30^{\text {th }}$ and February $1^{\text {st }}, 2016$. It was comprised of 1,000 Canadians. A random survey of 1000 Canadians is accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

## Impressions of the Government of China




QUESTION - Do you have a positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or negative impression of the Government of China?

## Support for a trade deal with China

Source: The Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, January $30^{\text {th }}$ to February $1^{\text {st, }}, 2016, \mathrm{n}=1000$, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

| Subgroups | Support/ <br> Somewhat <br> support |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $37.7 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $38.5 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $40.5 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $45.5 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $44.5 \%$ |
| Male (n=500) | $48.8 \%$ |
| Female (n=500) | $33.7 \%$ |
| 18 to $29(n=156)$ | $34.4 \%$ |
| 30 to $39(n=171)$ | $37.4 \%$ |
| 40 to $49(n=176)$ | $35.2 \%$ |
| 50 to $59(n=234)$ | $44.1 \%$ |
| 60 plus $(n=263)$ | $53.3 \%$ |

QUESTION - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the federal government pursuing a free trade deal with China?
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## Methodology

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between January $30^{\text {th }}$ and February $1^{\text {st }}, 2016$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

The data presented in this research is part of a joint project by The Globe and Mail and Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

## Technical Note

| Element | Description | Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization who commissioned the research | Globe and Mail | Weighting of Data | The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2014) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure |
| Final Sample Size Margin of Error | 1,000 Randomly selected individuals. $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 . | Screening | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data. |
| Mode of Survey | RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey | Excluded <br> Demographics | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell line could not participate. |
| Sampling Method Base | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada. | Stratification | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2014) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample. |
| Demographics (Captured) | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography. | Estimated Response Rate | 18\% percent, consistent with industry norms. |
| Demographics (Other) | Age, gender, education, income | Question Order | Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire. |
| Fieldwork/Validation | Live interviews with live supervision to validate work as per the MRIA Code of Conduct | Question Content | This was module four of an omnibus survey. The modules preceding these questions included top unprompted national issues of concern, vote preferences and economic issues. |
| Number of Calls/ | Maximum of five call backs. | Question Wording | The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals. |
| Time of Calls | Individuals were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:309:30pm local time for the respondent. | Survey Company | Nanos Research |
| Field Dates | January $30^{\text {th }}$ to February $1^{\text {st }}, 2016$. | Contact | Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <br> http://www.nanosresearch.com |
| Language of Survey | The survey was conducted in both English and French. |  | Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. <br> Email: info@nanosresearch.com. |

## About Nanos

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.
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Tabulations

## (1) nanos

2016-768 - Globe/Nanos Survey January - STAT SHEET

Question 1 - Do you have a positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or negative impression of the Government of China?

|  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Canada 2016-01 | Atlantic Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 plus |
| Total | Unwgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 500 | 500 | 156 | 171 | 176 | 234 | 263 |
|  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 503 | 497 | 203 | 169 | 211 | 178 | 239 |
| Positive | \% | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | . 7 | 1.4 | 3.5 |
| Somewhat positive | \% | 8.6 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 5.1 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 10.2 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 8.2 |
| Somewhat negative | \% | 38.4 | 42.1 | 43.0 | 35.3 | 36.0 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 38.2 | 34.5 | 37.9 | 39.5 | 37.9 | 41.7 |
| Negative | \% | 38.3 | 33.2 | 30.3 | 48.0 | 34.2 | 41.2 | 38.7 | 37.9 | 32.9 | 40.3 | 38.1 | 46.0 | 36.0 |
| Unsure | \% | 12.8 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 18.3 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 15.1 | 20.8 | 10.8 | 13.6 | 7.6 | 10.6 |

 land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

## (1) nanos

## 2016-768 - Globe/Nanos Survey January - STAT SHEET

Question 2 - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the federal government pursuing a free trade deal with China.

|  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Canada 2016-01 | Atlantic Canada | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 plus |
| Total | Unwgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 500 | 500 | 156 | 171 | 176 | 234 | 263 |
|  | Wgt N | 1000 | 100 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 150 | 503 | 497 | 203 | 169 | 211 | 178 | 239 |
| Support | \% | 11.4 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 14.4 |
| Somewhat support | \% | 29.9 | 29.9 | 28.3 | 30.1 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 34.9 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 33.6 | 38.9 |
| Somewhat oppose | \% | 23.0 | 24.8 | 25.7 | 21.8 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 25.9 | 20.9 | 25.3 | 17.7 |
| Oppose | \% | 24.4 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 29.9 | 19.7 | 27.0 | 22.8 | 26.1 | 25.2 | 20.6 | 33.3 | 22.8 | 19.9 |
| Unsure | \% | 11.3 | 17.2 | 14.1 | 7.9 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 14.9 | 13.5 | 16.0 | 10.6 | 7.8 | 9.1 |

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land-and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between January 30th and February 1 st, 2016. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

