

## Canada doing a poor job at trying to reduce greenhouse gases

Less than half would support taxes on fossil fuels such as gasoline or heating oil to reduce greenhouse gases.

Canadians give the country poor marks overall for its reduction of greenhouse gases. Just four percent think Canada is doing a very good job and $10 \%$ a good job at trying to reduce these gases. In comparison, $28 \%$ think Canada is doing a very poor job.

Of three possible tax increases to reduce greenhouse gases, Canadians are most supportive of taxing all businesses who emit greenhouse gases ( $40 \%$ support and $31 \%$ somewhat support). Few Canadians would support tax increases on their activities that generate greenhouse gases.
Increasing taxes on fossil fuels such as gasoline (18\% support and 23\% somewhat support) or on home heating fuel ( $16 \%$ support and $21 \%$ somewhat support) get much less support.

There are some noteworthy demographic differences. Regionally, British Columbians are more likely to support all forms of tax increase. Women are also more likely to support gasoline and business taxes than men. Finally, younger people are more supportive of taxes on businesses.

## Reducing Greenhouse Gases

Source: Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}$, 2015, $\mathrm{n}=1000$, Canadians, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20

Net Score


| Subgroups | Very good <br> Good |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic ( $n=100$ ) | $5.3 \%$ |
| Quebec $(n=250)$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| Ontario ( $n=300$ ) | $15.5 \%$ |
| Prairies ( $n=200$ ) | $17.8 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $12.9 \%$ |
| Male (n=496) | $15.4 \%$ |
| Female (n=503) | $11.7 \%$ |
| 18 to $29(n=205)$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| 30 to $39(n=169)$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| 40 to $49(n=208)$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| 50 to $59(n=178)$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| 60 plus $(n=239)$ | $11.2 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

QUESTION - Do you think Canada does a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at trying to reduce greenhouse gases?

## Greenhouse Gases Related Taxation

Source: Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015, \mathrm{n}=1000$, Canadians, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .


## Increasing Gasoline Taxes

Source: Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, $\mathrm{n}=1000$, Canadians, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .


## Increasing Heating Oil Taxes

Source: Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}$, 2015, $\mathrm{n}=1000$, Canadians, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20

Net Score
$-24.6$


| Subgroups | Support/ <br> Somewhat <br> support |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $34.8 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $33.4 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $36.4 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $37.1 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $42.6 \%$ |
| Male (n=496) | $35.2 \%$ |
| Female (n=503) | $37.9 \%$ |
| 18 to $29(n=205)$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| 30 to $39(n=169)$ | $40.8 \%$ |
| 40 to $49(n=208)$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| 50 to $59(n=178)$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| 60 plus $(n=239)$ | $34.2 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

QUESTION - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following [RANDOMIZE]:

Increasing taxes on fossil fuels such as home heating oil to reduce greenhouse gas emissions

## New Business Taxes

Source: Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, $n=1000$, Canadians, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

Net Score
$+43.8$


| Subgroups | Support/ <br> Somewhat <br> support |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $74.7 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $71.5 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $68.6 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $68.9 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $74.4 \%$ |
| Male (n=496) | $65.1 \%$ |
| Female (n=503) | $76.7 \%$ |
| 18 to $29(n=205)$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| 30 to $39(n=169)$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| 40 to $49(n=208)$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| 50 to $59(n=178)$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| 60 plus $(n=239)$ | $66.7 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding

QUESTION - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose the following [RANDOMIZE]:

Putting new taxes on all businesses who emit greenhouse gases

## Creation of Tax Policies

Source: Globe and Mail/Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}$, 2015, n=1000, Canadians, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20

Federal
government 77\%

| Subgroups | Federal <br> government |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atlantic (n=100) | $78.4 \%$ |
| Quebec (n=250) | $75.8 \%$ |
| Ontario (n=300) | $78.9 \%$ |
| Prairies (n=200) | $73.9 \%$ |
| British Columbia (n=150) | $76.0 \%$ |
| Male (n=496) | $77.6 \%$ |
| Female (n=503) | $75.7 \%$ |
| 18 to $29(n=205)$ | $78.0 \%$ |
| 30 to $39(n=169)$ | $74.5 \%$ |
| 40 to $49(n=208)$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| 50 to $59(n=178)$ | $74.5 \%$ |
| 60 plus $(n=239)$ | $76.9 \%$ |

*Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding
QUESTION - Who should take the lead in creating policies for taxes on fossil fuels, the federal government or provincial governments?


Methodology

## Methodology

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February $22^{\text {nd }}$ and $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.
The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .

The research was commissioned by the Globe \& Mail.
te: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

## About Nanos

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.
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Nik Nanos FMRIA
Chairman, Nanos Research Group
Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237
Washington DC (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

## Richard Jenkins

Vice President, Nanos Research Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 230 rjenkins@nanosresearch.com


Tabulations
THE GLOBE AND MAIL *
(1) Nanos survey

## 2015-527 - GLOBE \& MAIL/Nanos Survey - STAT SHEET

|  |  | Question 1 - Do you think Canada does a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at trying to |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reduce greenhouse gases? |  |  |

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
www.nanosresearch.com

## 2015-527 - GLOBE \& MAIL/Nanos Survey - STAT SHEET

|  |  | Question 2 - Increasing taxes on fossil fuels such as gasoline to reduce greenhouse gas emissions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Support | $\begin{gathered} \text { Somewhat } \\ \text { support } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Somewhat } \\ \text { oppose } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Oppose | Unsure |
|  |  | Responses | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage |
| Region | Canada 2015-02 | 1000 | 18.4 | 22.5 | 20.6 | 36.4 | 2.1 |
|  | Atlantic Canada | 100 | 19.0 | 21.2 | 26.5 | 33.4 | . 0 |
|  | Quebec | 250 | 18.7 | 17.3 | 24.7 | 36.6 | 2.7 |
|  | Ontario | 300 | 17.4 | 24.1 | 19.3 | 37.0 | 2.1 |
|  | Prairies | 200 | 14.4 | 26.6 | 17.1 | 39.6 | 2.4 |
|  | British Columbia | 150 | 24.6 | 23.3 | 17.0 | 32.8 | 2.3 |
| Gender | Male | 496 | 18.4 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 42.2 | 1.1 |
|  | Female | 503 | 18.3 | 25.5 | 22.4 | 30.7 | 3.1 |
| Age | 18 to 29 | 205 | 19.8 | 23.1 | 23.5 | 30.3 | 3.4 |
|  | 30 to 39 | 169 | 18.1 | 22.1 | 17.4 | 39.8 | 2.7 |
|  | 40 to 49 | 208 | 16.0 | 21.1 | 25.7 | 34.4 | 2.7 |
|  | 50 to 59 | 178 | 14.4 | 25.6 | 17.5 | 41.5 | 1.1 |
|  | 60 plus | 239 | 22.3 | 21.2 | 18.3 | 37.3 | . 9 |

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
www.nanosresearch.com

## 2015-527 - GLOBE \& MAIL/Nanos Survey - STAT SHEET

|  |  | Question 3 - Increasing taxes on fossil fuels such as home heating oil to reduce greenhouse gas emissions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Support | Somewhat support | Somewhat oppose | Oppose | Unsure |
|  |  | Responses | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage |
| Region | Canada 2015-02 | 1000 | 15.8 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 40.2 | 2.3 |
|  | Atlantic Canada | 100 | 12.2 | 22.6 | 27.8 | 37.4 | . 0 |
|  | Quebec | 250 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 24.0 | 40.7 | 1.8 |
|  | Ontario | 300 | 14.6 | 21.8 | 21.1 | 39.9 | 2.6 |
|  | Prairies | 200 | 12.5 | 24.6 | 14.8 | 44.7 | 3.5 |
|  | British Columbia | 150 | 22.6 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 35.6 | 2.3 |
| Gender | Male | 496 | 16.1 | 19.1 | 18.8 | 43.8 | 2.2 |
|  | Female | 503 | 15.4 | 22.5 | 23.1 | 36.6 | 2.4 |
| Age | 18 to 29 | 205 | 15.7 | 24.3 | 20.7 | 34.8 | 4.5 |
|  | 30 to 39 | 169 | 17.2 | 23.6 | 18.4 | 38.1 | 2.7 |
|  | 40 to 49 | 208 | 13.7 | 21.4 | 24.4 | 38.1 | 2.4 |
|  | 50 to 59 | 178 | 14.0 | 19.5 | 18.0 | 46.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 60 plus | 239 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 22.3 | 43.2 | . 3 |

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .
www.nanosresearch.com

## 2015-527 - GLOBE \& MAIL/Nanos Survey - STAT SHEET

|  |  | Question 4 - Putting new taxes on all businesses who emit greenhouse gases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Support | Somewhat support | Somewhat oppose | Oppose | Unsure |
|  |  | Responses | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage |
| Region | Canada 2015-02 | 1000 | 40.4 | 30.5 | 9.7 | 17.4 | 2.0 |
|  | Atlantic Canada | 100 | 43.6 | 31.1 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 3.4 |
|  | Quebec | 250 | 40.6 | 30.9 | 12.6 | 15.5 | . 3 |
|  | Ontario | 300 | 37.3 | 31.3 | 8.0 | 20.0 | 3.3 |
|  | Prairies | 200 | 36.0 | 32.9 | 8.0 | 21.5 | 1.6 |
|  | British Columbia | 150 | 49.9 | 24.5 | 8.9 | 14.6 | 2.1 |
| Gender | Male | 496 | 34.7 | 30.4 | 11.3 | 22.5 | 1.1 |
|  | Female | 503 | 46.1 | 30.6 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 2.9 |
| Age | 18 to 29 | 205 | 44.4 | 30.2 | 8.3 | 14.8 | 2.3 |
|  | 30 to 39 | 169 | 36.4 | 35.8 | 8.4 | 17.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 40 to 49 | 208 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 9.7 | 16.9 | 3.5 |
|  | 50 to 59 | 178 | 36.8 | 35.4 | 8.9 | 17.2 | 1.8 |
|  | 60 plus | 239 | 40.2 | 26.5 | 12.5 | 19.8 | 1.0 |

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .
www.nanosresearch.com

## 2015-527 - GLOBE \& MAIL/Nanos Survey - STAT SHEET

|  |  | Question 5 - Who should take the lead in creating policies for taxes on fossil fuels, the federal government or provincial governments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | The federal government | Provincial governments | Unsure |
|  |  | Responses | Percentage | Percentage | Percentage |
| Region | Canada 2015-02 | 1000 | 76.6 | 10.4 | 13.0 |
|  | Atlantic Canada | 100 | 78.4 | 10.3 | 11.4 |
|  | Quebec | 250 | 75.8 | 9.8 | 14.4 |
|  | Ontario | 300 | 78.9 | 8.3 | 12.9 |
|  | Prairies | 200 | 73.9 | 14.1 | 12.1 |
|  | British Columbia | 150 | 76.0 | 10.8 | 13.2 |
| Gender | Male | 496 | 77.6 | 11.0 | 11.4 |
|  | Female | 503 | 75.7 | 9.8 | 14.6 |
| Age | 18 to 29 | 205 | 78.0 | 13.5 | 8.5 |
|  | 30 to 39 | 169 | 74.5 | 8.5 | 16.9 |
|  | 40 to 49 | 208 | 78.7 | 9.7 | 11.6 |
|  | 50 to 59 | 178 | 74.5 | 11.1 | 14.5 |
|  | 60 plus | 239 | 76.9 | 9.0 | 14.1 |

Nanos conducted a RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians between February $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}, 2015$, as part of an omnibus survey. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The margin of error for a random survey of 1,000 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20 .
www.nanosresearch.com

