Weekly Nanos Party Power Index Tracking

Nanos Weekly Tracking, ending March 6, 2015 (released March 11, 2015)





Ideas powered by world-class data

Weekly Nanos Party Power Index



Liberals at 57 points out of 100 on Nanos Index, Tories at 54 points and still positively trending (Released 03/11/2015)

The weekly Nanos tracking on the state-of-play on the federal political situation shows opinion tightening between the Liberals and the Conservatives. The Liberals scored 57 points out of 100 on the Nanos Party Power Index followed by the Conservatives at 54 points, the NDP at 49 points, the Green Party at 31 points and the BQ at 27 points (QC only).

The Nanos Party Power Index methodology is comprised of a basket of political goods that includes ballot preferences, accessible voters, preferred PM views and evaluations of the leaders. It is modeled similar to a standard confidence index. It is a random telephone survey conducted with live agents, reaching out to Canadians through a land- and cell-line dual frame sample.

Harper remains at a 12 month high on the preferred Prime Minister front. Thirty four per cent of Canadians said Harper was their preferred choice as PM followed by 31 per cent who said Trudeau was their preferred choice for PM. Mulcair was the preferred choice of 17 per cent followed by May at four percent and 12 per cent were unsure.

Asked a series of independent questions as to whether they would consider or not consider voting for each of the federal parties, 52 per cent of Canadians would consider voting Liberal while 44 per cent would consider voting Conservative, 42 per cent would consider voting NDP and 24 per cent would consider voting for the Greens.





The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

Data Summary

r		This Week	Last Week	4 Weeks Ago	3 Months Ago	1 Year Ago (Mar 2014)	12 Month High	12 Month Low	12 Month Average
Canada Party Power Index									
	Liberal	56.7	56.4	57.4	56.9	57.5	60.7	54.8	56.9
	Conservative	54.3	54.0	53.3	52.3	50.5	54.3	48.4	51.4
	NDP	48.9	48.3	48.7	49.7	49.0	52.2	47.4	49.2
	Green	30.9	30.5	31.1	31.5	32.1	35.2	30.1	32.1
	Bloc	26.7	26.6	25.1	26.9	27.6	29.0	22.9	26.7
Party Consider									
	Liberal	52.2%	51.8%	53.7%	56.5%	50.6%	59.7%	48.8%	54.2%
	Conservative	43.6%	42.8%	44.3%	43.0%	37.8%	44.7%	36.1%	41.1%
	NDP	41.8%	42.6%	45.3%	44.5%	40.5%	47.1%	37.9%	43.5%
	Bloc	33.0%	33.9%	31.5%	31.0%	29.5%	36.7%	22.4%	30.3%
	Green	23.8%	24.0%	29.0%	26.2%	26.3%	33.1%	22.7%	27.4%
Preferred Prime Minister									
	Harper	33.7%	33.7%	32.4%	31.9%	26.4%	33.7%	25.5%	30.1%
	Trudeau	31.3%	30.6%	31.1%	30.4%	31.1%	35.8%	26.3%	30.7%
	Mulcair	16.9%	17.0%	17.0%	19.6%	18.4%	20.4%	13.7%	17.9%
	May	4.1%	4.1%	3.4%	3.6%	5.9%	6.7%	3.0%	4.9%
	Beaulieu	1.6%	1.7%	1.1%	0.6%	1.7%	2.8%	0.5%	1.4%
	Unsure	12.3%	12.9%	15.1%	13.9%	16.5%	18.1%	11.7%	14.7%



About the Index



Weekly Nanos Party Power Index

Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

- a ballot question that captures the 1st and 2nd vote preferences;
- a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
- the 1st and 2nd preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
- whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time.

The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.




65



The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

Contact: Nik Nanos







Party Power Index Tracking by Region

Nanos Weekly Tracking






Expert Counsel to CEOs and thought leaders



The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the Atlantic is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Quebec is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Ontario is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the Prairies is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos










The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for BC is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for regional subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com




British Columbia Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=152)



Party Power Index Tracking by Age

Nanos Weekly Tracking








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 18 to 29 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 30 to 39 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 40 to 49 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for PM, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 50 to 59 age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



50 to 59 Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=178)






The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for the 60 plus age group is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for age subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



60 plus Weekly Index Tracking Scores (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=239)



Party Power Index Tracking by Gender

Nanos Weekly Tracking








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for males is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for gender subsamples.

Contact: Nik Nanos








The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for females is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities. There are wider margins of accuracy for gender subsamples.


Contact: Nik Nanos





National Political Tracking Numbers

Nanos Weekly Tracking





A proven track record of accuracy


National Ballot



Question: For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?

Contact: Nik Nanos







National Issue




Question: What is your most important NATIONAL issue of concern?

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com


Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)





Federal Party Accessible Voters

Nanos Weekly Tracking





A proven track record of accuracy


Consider Conservative (n) NANOS

Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Conservative Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





■ Would not consider voting Conservative



■ Would consider voting Conservative

■ Unsure

Consider NDP




Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] NDP

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





■Would not consider voting NDP



■Would consider voting NDP


Consider Liberal



Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Liberal Party

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)



■ Would not consider voting Liberal



■ Would consider voting Liberal

Unsure

Consider Bloc




Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Bloc Québécois

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

Quebec only – Weekly Tracking Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=248)



■ Would consider voting BQ ■ Would not consider voting BQ ■ Unsure




Consider Green



Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Green Party

Contact: Nik Nanos


Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)





Tracking on the Federal Political Leaders

Nanos Weekly Tracking





Winning solutions for decision-makers


Prime Minister



Question: Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)





Harper




Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Stephen Harper

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





■ Does not have qualities of a good leader



Has qualities of a good leader

Mulcair




Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Thomas Mulcair

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com



National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)



■ Does not have qualities of a good leader

Has qualities of a good leader


Trudeau




Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Justin Trudeau

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)







Beaulieu




Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Mario Beaulieu

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com





2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2015- 2015- 08-10 09-14 10-19 11-23 12-28 02-01 03-07 04-11 05-16 06-20 07-25 08-29 10-03 11-07 12-12 01-16 02-20

Has qualities of a good leader Does not have qualities of a good leader Unsure



May




Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Elizabeth May

Contact: Nik Nanos

Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237 Washington DC: (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking (Four week rolling average ending March 6th, 2015, n=1,000)



2013- 2013- 2013- 2013- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2014- 2015-





Methodology

www.nanos.co



Survey Methodology

The Weekly Nanos Party Power Index Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians (land- and cell-lines), using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added. The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time.

The current report is based on a four week rolling average of Canadian opinion ending March 6th, 2015.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate 3.1 percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.



About Nanos

Nanos is one of North America's most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.





View our brochure

Nik Nanos FMRIA

Chairman, Nanos Research Group Ottawa (613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Washington DC (202) 697-9924 nnanos@nanosresearch.com